8.01.64	Home Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure Devices for the Treatment of Respiratory Insufficiency and Failure			
Original Policy Date:	April 1, 2022	Effective Date:	May 1, 2024	
Section:	8.0 Therapy	Page:	Page 1 of 40	

Policy Statement

- I. Nocturnal <u>bilevel positive airway pressure</u> (BPAP) with backup rate may be considered <u>medically necessary</u> for <u>individuals with COPD</u> and chronic respiratory failure (see Policy Guidelines) who meet <u>either</u> of the following:
 - A. Chronic stable daytime (awake) hypercapnia (PaCO₂ greater than or equal to 52 mmHg)
 - B. Daytime (awake) hypercapnia (PaCO₂ greater than or equal to 52 mmHg) at least 2 weeks after discharge from the hospital for an acute exacerbation with decompensated respiratory acidosis
- II. Non-invasive home mechanical ventilation may be considered **medically necessary** for individuals with COPD who meet the following criteria:
 - A. Qualify for a BPAP device **AND** meet at least **one** of the following:
 - 1. Higher pressure is needed to reduce hypercapnia than can be achieved with a bilevel device during titration (typically greater than $25 \text{cm H}_2\text{O}$)
 - 2. Severe hypoxemia requiring fraction of inspired oxygen (FIO_2) greater than 40% or greater than 5 L/min
 - 3. Daytime use (battery operated unit) is required to reduce hypercapnia
- III. Non-invasive positive airway pressure for COPD is considered **investigational** under all other conditions.
- IV. Bilevel positive airway pressure may be considered **medically necessary** for individuals with thoracic restrictive disorders due to neuromuscular disease who meet **any** of the following:
 - A. Pulmonary function tests:
 - 1. Spirometry (upright or supine) with vital capacity less than 50% predicted or less than 80% predicted with associated symptoms (orthopnea, dyspnea, morning headaches, excessive daytime sleepiness, or unrefreshing sleep); OR
 - 2. Maximal inspiratory pressure less than 60 cm H_2O or maximum expiratory pressure (MEP) less than 40 cm H_2O ; OR
 - 3. Peak cough flow (PCF) less than 270 L/min for age greater than or equal to 12 years or PCF less than 5th percentile for age less than 12 years; OR
 - 4. Sniff nasal inspiratory pressure (SNIP) less than 70 cm H_2O in males, SNIP less than 60 cm H_2O in females for age greater than or equal to 12 years.
 - B. Hypercapnia
 - 1. Chronic stable daytime (awake) hypercapnia with PaCO₂ greater than or equal to 45 mmHg (capillary blood gas can be used in children); OR
 - 2. Venous blood gas PCO₂, end-tidal PCO₂, or transcutaneous PCO₂, greater than or equal to 50 mmHg; OR
 - C. Hypoxia
 - 1. Overnight oximetry in-laboratory or home sleep test with saturation less than 88% for 5 minutes;
 - 2. Overnight oximetry: SpO2 less than or equal to 90% for greater than or equal to 2% of sleep time.
- V. Non-invasive home mechanical ventilation may be considered **medically necessary** for individuals with thoracic restrictive disorders due to neuromuscular disease who meet the following:

- A. Qualify for a BPAP device and meets any of the following:
 - 1. BPAP fails
 - 2. Have extreme loss in function with vital capacity less than 30%
 - 3. Non-invasive ventilation is needed for greater than 10 hours per day
 - 4. Severe breathlessness (e.g., with speaking at rest)
 - 5. Worsening daytime hypercapnia with need for mouthpiece ventilation
 - 6. Daytime use (battery operated unit) is required to reduce hypercapnia or dyspnea.
- VI. Bilevel positive airway pressure may be considered **medically necessary** for individuals with hypoventilation syndromes who meet the following criteria:
 - A. Awake or sleep hypoventilation with hypercapnia (one of the following is met):
 - 1. Awake hypoventilation with chronic stable daytime (awake) hypercapnia (PaCO₂ greater than or equal to 45 mmHg)
 - 2. Venous blood gas PCO_2 , end-tidal PCO_2 , or transcutaneous PCO_2 greater than or equal to 50 mmHg
 - 3. Sleep hypoventilation with hypercapnia:
 - a. greater than or equal to 10 mmHg increase from baseline awake PCO_2 and to a value greater than 50 mmHg for greater than or equal to 10 min; OR
 - b. PCO₂ greater than or equal to 55 mmHg for greater than or equal to 10 min; AND
 - B. Low clinical suspicion for COPD or neuromuscular disease; AND
 - C. One of the following conditions are met:
 - 1. Obesity with body mass index (BMI) greater than or equal to 30 kg/m^2
 - 2. Decreased respiratory drive due to opioid or substance use
 - 3. Advanced lung disease other than COPD (e.g., end-stage or advanced interstitial lung disease); AND
 - D. Individual was discharged from inpatient stay with persistent awake hypoventilation (hypercapnia) on BPAP.
 - A reassessment with a provider within 3 months (30 to 90 days) is required and an attended polysomnogram (PSG) should be performed to assess appropriateness of positive airway pressure modality (home sleep apnea test is acceptable if attended PSG is not obtainable); OR
 - E. Individual is ambulatory and sleep study indicates that BPAP is necessary for sleep-disordered breathing, or individual with severe obstructive sleep apnea is continuous positive airway pressure intolerant or continuous positive airway pressure was proven ineffective.
- VII. Non-invasive home mechanical ventilation may be considered **medically necessary** for individuals with hypoventilation syndromes who meet **either** of the following:
 - A. Qualify for a BPAP device and at least **one** of the following:
 - 1. Higher pressure is needed to reduce hypercapnia than can be achieved with a bilevel continuous positive airway pressure device during titration (typically greater than 25 cm H₂O)
 - 2. Severe hypoxemia requiring FIO_2 greater than 40% or greater than 5L per minute
 - 3. Daytime use (battery operated unit) is required to reduce hypercapnia
 - B. Tried and failed BPAP device with persistent hypercapnia despite 3 months of adequate adherence to prescribed positive airway pressure therapy with **either** of the following:
 - 1. Awake PaCO₂ greater than or equal to 45 mmHg
 - 2. Awake venous blood gas PCO_2 , end-tidal PCO_2 , or transcutaneous PCO_2 greater than or equal to 50 mmHg.

NOTE: Refer to <u>Appendix A</u> to see the policy statement changes (if any) from the previous version.

Policy Guidelines

For individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) without significant hypercapnia (PaCO₂ less than 52 mmHg) and with obstructive sleep apnea, see policy 2.01.18 (Diagnosis of Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome) and 8.01.67 (Medical Management of Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome).

Individuals with COPD who are started on BPAP at discharge from hospitalization may continue for up to 3 months to provide time to stabilize and complete reevaluation.

Respiratory failure in individuals with chronic disease is characterized by the inability to sustain normal gas exchange, leading to low arterial blood oxygen (hypoxemia, PaO₂) and/or high arterial carbon dioxide (hypercapnia, PaCO₂). Assessment of hypoxemia would lead to supplemental oxygen administration. Stable clinical state is defined as free of exacerbations for at least 4 weeks with pH over 7.35.

Compliance with treatment of at least 4 hours per 24 hours should be documented after the first 3 months of use. There are limited data on which to base compliance assessment. Assessment could be further based on an *average* of at least 4 hours per 24 hours over a consecutive 30-day period or use of 4 hours per 24 hours for at least 65% of the days in a consecutive 30-day period.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) classifies a respiratory assist device as a bilevel positive airway pressure (BPAP) device with or without backup respiratory rate capability. Treatment modalities that are reported with the E0471 code include BiPAP ST, ASV, BiPAP AutoSV, iVAPS, AVAPS. Bi-level devices allow for higher inspiratory pressures with lower expiratory pressures that assist with the work of breathing. Backup rates allow the machine to deliver a breath when the individual does not initiate breathing frequently enough. BPAP units with batteries have a battery life that is shorter than home mechanical ventilators and are infrequently used in the U.S.

CMS defines non-invasive mechanical ventilators as life supporting/sustaining devices used in various settings, including home, hospital, and institutional settings. The non-invasive mechanical ventilators should have at least 6 pressure modes and 3 volume modes, and allow for both invasive or non-invasive use. For examples, see the Regulatory Status section.

Although most individuals with comorbid COPD and obstructive sleep apnea can be effectively treated with continuous or auto-adjusting positive airway pressure, approximately 10% of individuals will need BPAP to tolerate the required pressure. These devices are reviewed in reference medical policy 2.01.18 (Diagnosis of Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome) and 8.01.64 (Medical Management of Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome).

Respiratory therapy in the home may be provided for individuals who are treated with E0466, E0470, or E0471 devices.

Coding

See the <u>Codes table</u> for details.

Description

Respiratory failure is characterized by low arterial blood oxygen (hypoxemia, PaO_2) and/or high arterial carbon dioxide (hypercapnia, $PaCO_2$ >45 mmHg). Chronic respiratory insufficiency or failure can occur with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), thoracic restrictive disorders, and hypoventilation syndromes, and may result in poor quality of life, sleepiness, hospital admission, intubation, and death. Non-invasive positive airway pressure ventilation (NPPV) including continuous

8.01.64 Home Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure Devices for the Treatment of Respiratory Insufficiency and Failure Page 4 of 40

positive airway pressure (CPAP), bilevel positive airway pressure (BPAP), and home mechanical ventilators (HMV) that are pressure, rate and volume targeted are proposed for the treatment of COPD and other forms of chronic hypoventilation.

Related Policies

- C. Diagnosis of Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome
- D. Medical Management of Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome

Benefit Application

Benefit determinations should be based in all cases on the applicable contract language. To the extent there are any conflicts between these guidelines and the contract language, the contract language will control. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

Some state or federal mandates (e.g., Federal Employee Program [FEP]) prohibits plans from denying Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved technologies as investigational. In these instances, plans may have to consider the coverage eligibility of FDA-approved technologies on the basis of medical necessity alone.

Regulatory Status

Numerous CPAP and BPAP devices are available in the U.S. Examples of HMV devices that have both invasive and non-invasive interfaces and are available in the U.S. are described in Table 1.

Table 1. Select Home Mechanical Ventilators with Non-invasive Interface

Device	Manufacturer	FDA clearance	Date	FDA product code
Trilogy™ Evo Ventilator	Respironics	K181166	2019	NOU, CBK
Vivo 60	Breas	K160481	2016	NOU, CBK, DQA, CCK
Astral 100/150	ResMed	K152068	2016	NOU, CBK
Newport™	Medtronic	K121891	2012	NOU, CBK
iVent	GE Healthcare	K092135	2009	NOU, CBK
LTV	Cardinal Health	K083688	2009	СВК
Puritan Bennett 540	Covidien	K082966	2008	СВК

Rationale

Background

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a common condition, affecting more than 5% of the population, and is associated with high morbidity and mortality. COPD is the fourth leading cause of death in the United States. It is a clinical syndrome with multiple etiologies that is characterized by chronic respiratory symptoms, structural pulmonary abnormalities, and/or lung function impairment. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is most frequently associated with cigarette smoking or other air pollutants, and a majority of patients with COPD in the United States have a history of cigarette smoking. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is progressive, with expiratory airflow limitation, air trapping/hyperinflation, and destruction of alveoli (emphysema). The Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD), defines COPD as "a heterogeneous lung condition characterized by chronic respiratory symptoms (dyspnea, cough, sputum production and/or exacerbations) due to

abnormalities of the airways (bronchitis, bronchiolitis) and/or alveoli (emphysema) that cause persistent, often progressive, airflow obstruction".^{1,2,}

Respiratory failure in patients with COPD is characterized by the inability to sustain normal gas exchange, leading to low arterial blood oxygen (hypoxemia, PaO_2) and/or high arterial carbon dioxide (hypercapnia, $PaCO_2$). Hypercapnia develops in about one-third of patients with COPD and is associated with poor quality of life, sleepiness, frequent hospital admissions due to exacerbations, and an increase in mortality compared to patients with COPD who are normocapnic. The hypercapnia is due in large part to poor lung biomechanics including low inspiratory muscle reserve, high CO_2 production, and a reduced ventilatory capability. The imbalance between the respiratory load and respiratory capability may in turn affect the ventilatory control center in the brain stem. Physiological changes in responsiveness to hypoxemia and hypercapnia during sleep can be particularly pronounced in patients with COPD, with overnight increases in $PaCO_2$ affecting daytime $PaCO_2$, possibly through bicarbonate retention or changes in cerebrospinal fluid. Patients with COPD may also have comorbid obstructive sleep apnea and/or obesity hypoventilation syndrome due to decreased ventilatory motor output and upper airway muscle activity during sleep.

Thoracic Restrictive Disorders Due to Neuromuscular Disease

Thoracic restrictive disorders result from a variety of underlying diseases all characterized by restrictive patterns on pulmonary function testing.⁵, Neuromuscular disorders such as muscular dystrophy, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), polio, and phrenic neuropathies can result in weakness of the respiratory muscles affecting inspiration and expiration, ultimately resulting in hypoventilation. Impaired cough and swallowing associated with neuromuscular disease increases the risk of respiratory complications in these patients.⁶, Nocturnal hypoventilation due to muscular atonia during sleep leads to nocturnal hypercapnia. Frequent nocturnal episodes can result in renal compensation and ultimately result in daytime hypercapnia. Non-invasive positive airway pressure ventilation (NPPV) is often necessary for patients with thoracic restrictive disorders due to neuromuscular disease.

Hypoventilation Syndromes

Hypoventilation syndromes are nonspecific disorders characterized by hypercapnia ($PaCO_2 > 45$ mmHg) that is not otherwise categorized.^{7,} Obesity hypoventilation syndrome (OHS), central respiratory depression due to substance or medication use, and decompensated hypercapnic respiratory failure that is not COPD are all included in this category. In patients with OHS, weight loss is useful in normalizing PaCO2; however, NPPV should be initiated early while weight loss is attempted.^{8,}

Treatment With Non-invasive Positive Airway Pressure

A major goal of management of patients with chronic hypoventilation is to reduce hospitalizations and mortality. Long-term oxygen therapy is recommended for patients with poor clinical status and NPPV devices for patients with severe chronic hypercapnia and a history of hospitalization for acute respiratory failure. Non-invasive positive airway pressure ventilation devices include nocturnal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) for individuals with hypercapnia due to obstructive sleep apnea or hypoventilation and bilevel positive airway pressure (BPAP) devices or non-invasive home mechanical ventilators that are pressure, rate, and volume targeted. The objective of this evidence review is to describe which features of NPPV are required to improve the net health outcome in patients with COPD, thoracic restrictive disorders due to neuromuscular disease, or those with hypoventilation syndromes.

Benefits of nocturnal NPPV persist into the daytime with improved breathing patterns (lower frequencies and larger tidal volumes) and improved gas exchange. Explanations for the improvement in daytime respiration with nocturnal NPPV include increased respiratory drive, improved diaphragm function by unloading the respiratory muscles during sleep, increased CO₂ sensitivity, and reduction in air trapping and hyperinflation. It is not known which factors (e.g.,

muscle unloading, gas exchange normalization, decrease in hyperinflation) underlie the benefits of NPPV on health outcomes. It is also unclear if the reduction in $PaCO_2$ has an effect on health outcomes or if it is only a marker of effective ventilation.^{4,}

Respiratory Assist Devices

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) defines respiratory assist devices (RADs) as bilevel devices with or without back-up respiratory rate capability. While CPAP devices provide continuous air at a pressure that prevents the collapse of the airway during inspiration, BPAP devices work by increasing pressure during inspiration and lowering it during expiration (pressure cycled). In some devices a backup respiratory rate is triggered when the patient's nocturnal respiratory rate decreases below a set threshold. The backup rate is typically set 2 breaths below the patient's spontaneous respiratory rate during wakefulness.

Terminology on device features is described in Table 2.

Table 2. Device Features

Term	Definition	Description
Bilevel- S	Bilevel without a backup rate	Positive airway pressure that is higher during inspiration than expiration that is triggered by patient inspiration.
Bilevel- ST	Bilevel with a backup rate	Positive airway pressure that is higher during inspiration than expiration with a backup respiratory cycle length if the patient's breathing is slower than the preset rate.
VAPS	Volume-assured pressure support modes	Bilevel ST modes that use an algorithm to adjust inspiratory pressure support to meet a set tidal volume.
iVAPS	Intelligent volume- assured pressure support modes	Bilevel ST modes that use an algorithm to adjust inspiratory pressure support within a predetermined range to meet a set target ventilation.

Home Mechanical Ventilators

In some patients, nocturnal respiratory assist devices are insufficient to address the respiratory failure. Non-invasive home mechanical ventilators (HMV) are proposed for the treatment of chronic respiratory failure that is refractory to a respiratory assist device. Mechanical ventilators are devices that deliver more controlled breathing with bilevel ventilation at a higher pressure. The ventilators may also have additional features compared to BPAP machines such as alarms and battery backup power. Home mechanical ventilators can be used for patients with tracheostomy in the home, but may also be used with a non-invasive interface such as a mask or mouthpiece in patients who do not depend on 24 hour ventilation for survival. Current technology has decreased the size of home ventilators to around 10 pounds. In addition, some models may be wireless with battery backup, allowing greater mobility during the day.

Titration

Early studies with low intensity NPPV did not demonstrate health benefits in patients with hypercapnia. More recent studies have reinforced the importance of high-intensity NPPV (>18 cm H_2O) that is titrated to decrease hypercapnia. A high respiratory backup rate that is increased to the level of spontaneous breathing has also been shown to be important to achieve positive health outcomes. Manually set, laboratory or hospital titration of NPPV with pressure control and backup rate have been recommended for stable hypercapnic COPD.^{9,} The goal of titration of inspiratory positive airway pressure is to achieve normocapnia, a reduction in transcutaneous CO_2 , or maximum tolerable inspiratory pressure. A fast rise in inspiratory pressure (rise time) allows enough time for expiration within the normal rate of breathing. In patients with air trapping and hyperinflation, use of positive end-expiratory pressure can also be beneficial.

A suggested protocol for in-laboratory titration of NPPV in patients with COPD in the U.S. is described by Orr et al (2020).^{4,} Titration of NPPV is usually performed in a monitored environment after the patient has stabilized, as studies have not found an improvement in health outcomes when NPPV is started soon after an acute exacerbation. Polysomnography or respiratory monitoring may

be used during titration to evaluate the presence of obstructive sleep apnea or hypoventilation. The inspiratory pressure is typically started at 6 to 8 cm H_2O of pressure support above the expiratory pressure and titrated to reduce hypercapnia. A Bilevel-ST (with backup rate) or a VAPS (volume assured) may be used if a Bilevel-S (without backup rate) fails to adequately reduce hypercapnia. Although titration in European studies has been performed with a hospital stay, this is not feasible in the U.S., and titration might be performed over several weeks in the patient's home by an external durable medical equipment (DME) provider.

Pulmonary Rehabilitation

Pulmonary rehabilitation is a personalized intervention that includes physical activity (e.g., activities of daily living, endurance exercises and muscle strengthening), health education, and psychological support. It may be performed in the hospital, outpatient clinic, or home, and has been shown to reduce mortality, exacerbation rate, intensive care admissions, and emergency department visits. Pulmonary rehabilitation is common in Europe but is less frequently provided in the U.S.

Literature Review

Evidence reviews assess the clinical evidence to determine whether the use of a technology improves the net health outcome. Broadly defined, health outcomes are length of life, quality of life, and ability to function, including benefits and harms. Every clinical condition has specific outcomes that are important to patients and to managing the course of that condition. Validated outcome measures are necessary to ascertain whether a condition improves or worsens; and whether the magnitude of that change is clinically significant. The net health outcome is a balance of benefits and harms.

To assess whether the evidence is sufficient to draw conclusions about the net health outcome of a technology, 2 domains are examined: the relevance and the quality and credibility. To be relevant, studies must represent one or more intended clinical use of the technology in the intended population and compare an effective and appropriate alternative at a comparable intensity. For some conditions, the alternative will be supportive care or surveillance. The quality and credibility of the evidence depend on study design and conduct, minimizing bias and confounding that can generate incorrect findings. The randomized controlled trial (RCT) is preferred to assess efficacy; however, in some circumstances, nonrandomized studies may be adequate. RCTs are rarely large enough or long enough to capture less common adverse events and long-term effects. Other types of studies can be used for these purposes and to assess generalizability to broader clinical populations and settings of clinical practice.

Promotion of greater diversity and inclusion in clinical research of historically marginalized groups (e.g., People of Color [African-American, Asian, Black, Latino and Native American]; LGBTQIA (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, Intersex, Asexual); Women; and People with Disabilities [Physical and Invisible]) allows policy populations to be more reflective of and findings more applicable to our diverse members. While we also strive to use inclusive language related to these groups in our policies, use of gender-specific nouns (e.g., women, men, sisters, etc.) will continue when reflective of language used in publications describing study populations.

Continuous Positive Airway Pressure for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

Sleep-related breathing disorders are common in individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The coexistence of COPD and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is associated with more pronounced hypoxemia and hypercapnia than either condition individually, and individuals are at greater risk of morbidity and mortality compared to patients who have either COPD or OSA alone. The purpose of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) in individuals with overlap syndrome is to reduce morbidity and mortality in this population.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is individuals with COPD who have clinically significant OSA. Obstructive sleep apnea is a partial or intermittent upper airway collapse that results in hypoxemia and arousal during sleep (see Blue Shield of California Medical Policies: Diagnosis of Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome).

Clinically significant OSA in adults that is indicated for treatment is defined as:

- An Apnea/Hypopnea Index or Respiratory Disturbance Index of at least 15 events per hour, OR
- An Apnea/Hypopnea Index or Respiratory Disturbance Index of at least 5 events per hour in a patient with 1 or more signs or symptoms associated with OSA (e.g., excessive daytime sleepiness, hypertension, cardiovascular heart disease, or stroke);

The criterion standard for a diagnosis of sleep disorders is a polysomnogram performed in a sleep laboratory. A standard polysomnogram includes electroencephalogram, submental electromyogram, and electrooculogram (to detect rapid eye movement sleep) for sleep staging. Polysomnography also typically includes electrocardiography and monitoring of respiratory airflow, effort, snoring, oxygen desaturation, and sleep position. A variety of devices have been developed specifically to evaluate OSA at home. They range from portable full polysomnography systems to single-channel oximeters. Available devices evaluate different parameters, which may include oximetry, respiratory and cardiac monitoring, and sleep/wake activity.

A definition of terms and scoring criteria for OSA are described in Table 3.

Table 3. Definitions of Terms and Scoring Criteria for Obstructive Sleep Apnea

Terms	Definition
Respiratory ever	nt
Apnea	The frequency of apneas is measured from channels assessing oxygen desaturation, respiratory airflow, and respiratory effort. In adults, apnea is defined as a drop in airflow by 90% or more of pre-event baseline for at least 10 seconds.
Hypopnea	Hypopnea in adults is scored when the peak airflow drops by at least 30% of pre-event baseline for at least 10 seconds in association with either at least 3% or 4% arterial oxygen desaturation (depending on criteria) or an arousal.
Positive airway	pressure
APAP	Auto-adjusting positive airway pressure may be used either to provide treatment or to determine the most effective pressure for CPAP.
BPAP	Bilevel positive airway pressure that is higher on inspiration than expiration.
СРАР	Continuous positive airway pressure provides the same pressure throughout the breathing cycle.
PAP	Positive airway pressure that may be continuous (CPAP), auto-adjusting (APAP), or bilevel (BPAP).

Comparators

The following therapies are currently being used to make decisions about individuals with overlap of COPD and OSA: medical treatment for COPD without treatment for OSA.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are mortality, symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and hospitalization. These are measured with a variety of tools as shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Health Outcome Measures Relevant to Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Outcome	Measure (Units)	Description
Readmission	Measured as readmission-free survival or	May be part of a composite of readmission or
	time to readmission	death
Mortality	Measured as time to death or percent mortality within a set period	May be specific to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or overall

8.01.64 Home Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure Devices for the Treatment of Respiratory Insufficiency and Failure Page 9 of 40

Outcome	Measure (Units)	Description
PaO ₂	Partial pressure of oxygen (kPa or mmHg)	The level of arterial oxygen.
PaCO ₂	Partial pressure of carbon dioxide (kPa or	The level of arterial carbon dioxide. Some studies
	mmHg)	have used a threshold of 45 mmHg. Severe hypercapnia is $PaCo_2 > 7.3$ kPa or > 52 mmHg.
6MWD	Six-minute walking distance (meters)	The distance that can be walked in 6 minutes.
HRQL	Health-related quality of life	Severe Respiratory Insufficiency Questionnaire (SRI) or the St George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ)

Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Review of Evidence Systematic Reviews

A 2021 evidence review by the American Thoracic Society found several studies suggesting that identification and treatment with CPAP in patients with overlap syndrome improves outcomes.^{2,} However, no trials were identified that compared an OSA screening strategy with no screening strategy in patients with stable hypercapnic COPD or with use of CPAP in patients already on other modes of positive airway pressure (PAP).

Srivali et al 2023 conducted a systematic review of 5 observational studies evaluating CPAP in patients with overlap syndrome.^{10,} Definitions of OSA, COPD, and CPAP use were inconsistent among the studies, and the data were not pooled. However, all-cause mortality was reported in 3 studies and was significantly reduced with CPAP in each study (hazard ratios, 0.19 to 0.71).

Observational Studies

Marin et al (2010) evaluated outcomes of patients who have both COPD and OSA from a prospective database of patients referred to a university sleep clinic.^{11,} The authors analyzed 3 groups of patients; those with overlap syndrome who were started on CPAP (n=228), those with overlap syndrome not treated with CPAP (n=213), and patients with COPD who did not have OSA (n=210). Patients were seen at least once a year or until death, and the primary outcome was time to death from any cause. The secondary outcome was the time to first severe COPD exacerbation requiring hospitalization. There were 589 patients with overlap syndrome and 210 with COPD and simple snoring. Positive pressure was recommended for 468 patients, another 121 patients did not qualify for CPAP and were given other options for treatment.

Of the 468 patients with overlap syndrome who received a recommendation for PAP, 228 were treated with CPAP, 27 were treated with bilevel positive airway pressure (BPAP), and 213 did not accept treatment. The apnea/hypopnea index (AHI) was similar (35 vs. 34) in the 2 groups; these patients would have received a recommendation for treatment based on presence of clinically significant OSA. Median follow-up was 9.4 years (range, 3.3 to 12.7). When compared to patients with COPD only, patients with overlap syndrome who were not treated with PAP had a higher mortality risk (42.2% vs. 24.2%; relative risk [RR] 1.79; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.16 to 2.77) and were more

likely to suffer a severe COPD exacerbation leading to hospitalization (RR 1.70; 95% CI: 1.21 to 2.38). Patients with overlap syndrome treated with CPAP did not have a statistically significant increased risk for death from any cause (31.6%) compared to patients with COPD only (24.2%). There were a number of baseline differences between the COPD only and the overlap syndrome groups which may impact mortality, but the 2 overlap groups were well matched for baseline characteristics. The main conclusion of the study was that patients with COPD should be screened for OSA and offered treatment.

Similar findings were reported by Machado et al (2010), who reported a prospective comparative study of 95 patients with moderate to severe OSA and hypoxemic COPD who were offered CPAP treatment.^{12,} Of the 95 patients, 61 (64%) accepted CPAP and were adherent while 34 did not accept or were not adherent. After adjusting for confounders, patients treated with CPAP had a significantly lower risk of death (hazard ratio of 0.19; 95% CI: 0.08 to 0.48).

Other studies have evaluated the patient characteristics that show most benefit from PAP. Jaoude et al (2014) evaluated 271 consecutive patients with overlap syndrome who were seen at a Veterans Administration sleep center. Of the 271 overlap patients identified, 104 were considered hypercapnic (mean $PaCO_2 = 51.6 \pm 4.3$ mmHg), indicating that the level of hypercapnia was lower than in other studies that had a threshold of 52 mmHg. The normocapnic and hypercapnic patients had similar AHI (29.3 \pm 23.8 and 35.2 \pm 29.2 events per hour, respectively; p=.07) and similar adherences rates to CPAP (43% and 42%, respectively). During a median follow-up of 71 months, mortality was higher in patients who were hypercapnic (35%) compared to patients who were normocapnic (17%, p=.001). Mortality in patients who were hypercapnic was lower in those who were adherent to CPAP compared to those who were not (p=.04), but adherence to CPAP had little impact on mortality in normocapnic patients (p=.42).

Singh et al (2019) evaluated the impact of PAP therapy on emergency room visits and hospitalization rates in Medicare beneficiaries with overlap syndrome. ^{14,} Using a 5% Medicare sample of claims data from 2010 to 2012, they identified 319 patients with overlap syndrome who were new users of PAP therapy in 2011. Subjects were categorized by age (66 to 74 years, 75 to 84 years, >85 years), gender, race, socioeconomic status, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) geographic region, comorbidity score, COPD complexity, tobacco use, and selected comorbidities. Comorbidities included hypertension (84%), diabetes (42%), and congestive heart failure (32%); 63% of individuals had 3 or more comorbidities. COPD complexity was based on pulmonary and non-pulmonary comorbid conditions and the prevalence of exacerbations and utilization of healthcare services; 26.0% of individuals were considered low complexity, 57.7% moderate complexity, and 16.3% high complexity. When compared with the year before PAP initiation, hospitalization for COPD-related conditions was significantly reduced (19.4% vs. 25.4%, p=.03). Rates of emergency room visits and hospitalization for any cause were not significantly different for the pre- and post-initiation periods. Although the benefit was seen primarily in patients who were considered to be of higher complexity, no information could be obtained from the claims data on the severity of COPD and OSA.

Section Summary: Continuous Positive Airway Pressure for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

The evidence on use of CPAP in patients with COPD includes observational studies of patients with both COPD and OSA who do or do not use CPAP and a systematic review of those studies. Studies show a mortality benefit in patients with overlap syndrome who are treated with PAP. The greatest benefits occur in patients with COPD and hypercapnia and in older adults, and individuals with more comorbid conditions and higher complexity ratings. It should be noted that the mean $PaCO_2$ was 51.6 ± 4.3 mmHg in at least one study, indicating that the threshold for what was considered hypercapnia was lower than the threshold for hypercapnia in other studies (see below). Although the literature indicates that patients with COPD should be screened for OSA due to increased mortality in overlap syndrome, no studies were identified to indicate that CPAP would be prescribed in any manner other than would typically be recommended for patients with clinically significant OSA.

Bilevel Positive Airway Pressure for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

Morbidity and mortality are high in individuals with COPD and chronic respiratory failure. Despite maximal medical therapy, the occurrence of acute exacerbations requiring hospitalizations is high, and a substantial percentage of individuals will die within 1 year. The purpose of BPAP in individuals who have COPD and chronic respiratory failure is to improve function and quality of life and to reduce acute exacerbations and death.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

Populations

The relevant populations of interest are inividuals with COPD who have chronic respiratory failure or who have had an acute exacerbation.

Interventions

The therapy being considered is BPAP with or without a backup rate.

Comparators

The following therapies are currently being used to treat COPD with chronic respiratory failure: medications and home oxygen therapy.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are mortality, symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and hospitalization. These are measured with a variety of tools as shown in Table 4. This is a chronic treatment in a patient population with a high mortality rate. Therefore, follow-up at 12 months is of interest to measure readmissions and mortality and at 3 to 6 months to measure blood gasses and health outcomes. It is not known whether $PaCO_2$ has an effect on health outcomes, or if it is only a marker of effective ventilation.

Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Review of Evidence

Systematic Reviews

An updated Cochrane review by Raveling et al (2021) evaluated the evidence for nocturnal non-invasive positive pressure ventilation (NPPV) for the treatment of either stable COPD or COPD after an acute exacerbation.¹⁵, The primary outcomes were arterial blood gas and exercise capacity measured with the 6-minute walk distance (6MWD) as well as readmissions for acute exacerbations. Where available, the meta-analysis included individual patient data (chronic: n=778; acute exacerbation: n=364) along with missing data from the primary RCTs. Criteria for inclusion were RCT, NPPV prescribed for at least 4 hours per night, and use for greater than 3 weeks; trials that allowed daytime use were excluded from the review. Most of the trials were conducted in Europe and excluded patients with OSA or high body mass index (BMI).

The update included 17 trials on stable COPD and 4 trials on NPPV after an acute exacerbation of COPD. Bilevel positive airway pressure with a backup rate was used in 3 studies, including the trials by Kohnlein et al (2014), Murphy et al (2017) and Struik et al (2014) described below. Eight studies were judged to be at low risk of bias, including the 3 by Kohnlein et al, Murphy et al, and Struik et al. Sources of heterogeneity were baseline hypercapnia ($PaCO_2 < 55 \text{ mmHg}$ vs. $\geq 55 \text{ mmHg}$) and the mean inspiratory pressure of NPPV ($< 18 \text{ cm H}_2O \text{ vs.} \geq 18 \text{ cm H}_2O$). Sensitivity analysis removing studies with high or unclear risk of bias in the chronic COPD cohort increased the treatment effects for PaO_2 , $PaCO_2$, 6MWD, and health related quality of life (HRQL). The authors concluded that the addition of chronic NPPV to standard treatment improves diurnal hypercapnia (high certainty), and there was evidence that NPPV improved PaO_2 and all cause mortality (moderate certainty) and HRQL (very low certainty). In patients with stable COPD, the effect on gas exchange seemed to be larger in people with more severe hypercapnia ($PaCO_2 \geq 55 \text{ mmHg}$), better treatment compliance (>5 hours per night) and treated with a high inspiratory pressure ($PAP \geq 18 \text{ cm H}_2O$). The uncertain contribution of improvements in gas exchange, along with possible mechanisms, for an improvement in health outcomes were discussed.

Wilson et al (2020) published a meta-analysis that included 21 RCTs and 12 observational studies (N=51,085) on patients with COPD and hypercapnia treated with NPPV. 16 . Of these, 15 RCTs and 6 observational studies evaluated BPAP compared with no device. The BPAP modes were spontaneous/timed, volume-assured pressure support, pressure-controlled ventilation, or the mode was not specified. The primary outcomes were mortality, all-cause hospital admissions, intubation, and quality of life. Analysis indicated that overall, use of BPAP, compared with no device, was significantly associated with a lower risk of mortality (odds ratio [OR] 0.66), fewer patients with hospital admissions (OR 0.22), and lower need for intubation (OR 0.34). There was no significant difference in quality of life, and sensitivity analysis indicated that observational studies were driving the significant results. Further analysis of subgroups evaluated the timing of the initiation of NPPV (stable vs. recent exacerbation) and separated the PaCO₂ threshold categories as 45 to 49 mmHg, 50 to 51 mmHg, and at least 52 mmHg. Post-hoc subgroup analysis found no significant differences in mortality or all-cause hospital admissions based on PaCO₂ levels, but improved quality of life in patients with higher PaCO₂ levels.

A 2020 evidence review by the American Thoracic Society evaluated NPPV on health outcomes in patients with stable hypercapnic COPD (Table 5).^{2,} Studies varied in the severity of baseline hypercapnia and lung disease, mode of ventilation, pressure settings, and comparator, all of which may have contributed to the imprecision of the studies.

Table 5. Effect of Non-invasive Positive Pressure Ventilation on Health Outcomes in Patients with Stable Hypercapnic COPD

•		
Outcome	Effect	Level of Certainty
Mortality	RR: 0.86 (0.58 to 2.27)	Low
Hospitalizations	MD: -1.26 (-2.59 to 0.08)	Low
Quality of Life	MD: 0.48 (0.09 to 0.88)	Low
Dyspnea	SMD: -0.51 (-0.95 to -0.06)	Moderate

COPD: chronic obstructivev pulmonary disease; MD: mean difference; RR: relative risk; SMD: standardized mean difference

Randomized Controlled Trials

Chronic Hypercapnic Respiratory Failure

Kohnlein et al (2014) conducted a multicenter RCT to determine whether BPAP would improve survival in patients with COPD and stable hypercapnic respiratory failure when ventilator settings were targeted to reduce hypercapnia. Patients (N=195) with Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) stage IV COPD, PaCO $_2$ 51.9 mmHg or higher, and pH higher than 7.35 were randomized into treatment as usual (including O_2), or BPAP plus treatment as usual. Excluded were patients with BMI of 35 kg/m2 or greater, abnormalities of the lung or thorax other than COPD, or other conditions resulting in hypercapnia. Titration was performed in the hospital over a mean of 5.6

days with pressure targeted to reduce the baseline $PaCO_2$ by at least 20% or to achieve $PaCO_2 < 48.1$ mmHg. The mean inspiratory pressure in the NPPV group was 21.6 cm H_2O with a backup rate of 16.1. Patients in both groups were admitted to the hospital at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months after randomization to ensure optimized treatment and additionally contacted by telephone every 4 weeks to ensure adherence to therapy. At 12 months, the $PaCO_2$ was 48.8 mmHg in the NPPV group (mean use of 5.9 hours per day) compared to 55.5 in the control group. The primary outcome of 1-year all cause mortality was 12% in the NPPV group compared to 33% in the control group, with a hazard ratio of 0.24 (95% CI: 0.11 to 0.49; p=.004). Emergency hospital admissions were limited to 3 patients (3%) in the control group. The study utilized intention-to-treat analysis with blinded outcomes assessors. Previous studies that had not shown a significant improvement in survival with NPPV included patients with mild hypercapnia who did not have reduced hypercapnia or improved blood gases with treatment. ¹⁸,

Post-Acute Hypercapnic Respiratory Failure

Murphy et al (2017) reported an RCT on the use of BPAP in addition to home oxygen therapy in patients with persistent hypercapnia following acute respiratory failure in the Home Oxygen Therapy Home Mechanical Ventilation (HOT-HMV) trial.^{19,} Patients (N=116) who had persistent hypercapnia following hospitalization for an acute exacerbation of COPD were randomized to a BPAP device with home oxygen therapy (NPPV+ O_2) or to oxygen therapy alone (O_2). Randomization occurred between 2 to 4 weeks after resolution of decompensated acidosis (arterial pH >7.30) in patients who had persistent hypercapnia (PaCO₂ >53 mmHg) and hypoxemia (PaO₂ <55 mmHg or <60 mmHg; \geq 1 of polycythemia, pulmonary hypertension, or cor pulmonale; >30% of sleep time with oxygen saturation <90% as measured by pulse oximetry) and arterial pH greater than 7.30 while breathing room air. Patients were admitted for inpatient titration with either the Harmony 2 ST (Philips-Respironics) or VPAP IIIST (ResMed) with pressure support and backup rate. Settings were adjusted during overnight sleep studies to control hypoventilation and hypoxemia with a median inspiratory positive airway pressure of 24 cm H₂O. Respiratory failure was attributed to COPD if forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV_1) was less than 50% predicted, the FEV_1 /forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio was less than 60%, and the patient had a smoking history in the absence of 1) obesity (BMI >35), 2) clinically significant obstructive sleep apnea, or 3) neuromuscular or chest wall disease. PaCO2 levels improved significantly more in the NPPV group at 6 weeks (between group difference of -5.0; 95% CI: -9.0 to -1.3) and 3 months (-4.0; 95% CI:-7.1 to -0.8) but not at 6 or 12 months. Improvements in PaO₂ levels were not significantly different in the 2 groups. There was a significant reduction in the composite endpoint of readmission or death within 12 months (63.4% in the NPPV+ O_2 group vs. 80.4% in the O_2 alone group), with an adjusted hazard ratio of 0.49 (95% CI: 0.31 to 0.77; p=.002) and a number needed to treat of 5.8 to prevent 1 readmission or death. The median time to readmission or death was 4.3 months in the NPPV+O2 group and 1.4 months in patients receiving oxygen alone, and the exacerbation rate in 12 months was reduced to 3.8 for the NPPV+O2 group compared to 5.1 with O₂ alone (adjusted rate ratio 0.66; 95% CI: 0.46 to 0.95, p=.02). Twelve month mortality was not significantly different between the groups. The study utilized intention-to-treat analysis with blinded outcomes assessors.

Several differences were noted between the results of the HOT-HMV trial and the RESCUE trial, which failed to demonstrate an improvement in hospitalizations or mortality. 20 , In the RESCUE trial there was less stringent PaCO $_2$ criteria (>45 mmHg) and treatment began immediately following cessation of acute ventilation rather than after a 2 week stabilization period, so that patients with spontaneously reversible hypercapnia would have been included in the study. For example, in the HOT-HMV trial 21% of potential patients were excluded due to not meeting the PaCO $_2$ criteria 2 weeks after the acute exacerbation. The GOLD guideline notes that several factors may account for discrepancies in study results, including differences in patient selection, underpowered studies, NPPV settings, and poor adherence. 1

Section Summary: Bilevel Positive Airway Pressure for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

The evidence on bilevel NPPV includes RCTs and systematic reviews of those RCTs. The primary limitation of the evidence base is the heterogeneity of patient selection criteria and treatment parameters. The most recent trials indicate that bilevel NPPV improves hypercapnia in both patients with stable hypercapnia and in patients who have stabilized following an acute exacerbation. There is evidence that some health outcomes including function, readmissions, and death are improved, although the strength of evidence is low. Notable differences between the results of the HOT-HMV trial and the RESCUE trial, which failed to demonstrate an improvement in hospitalizations or mortality, are that in the RESCUE trial there was less stringent $PaCO_2$ criteria (>45 mmHg), and treatment began immediately following cessation of acute ventilation, so that patients with spontaneously reversible hypercapnia may have been included in the study. Meta-analysis of individual patient data supports the importance of the level of hypercapnia and intensity of the pressure on outcomes in patients with COPD. Other factors that are reported to be important to achieve benefit of NPPV include use for at least 5 hours per night, and for patients with hypercapnia following an acute exacerbation, titration should occur at least 2 weeks after hospitalization when hypercapnia has stabilized.

Home Mechanical Ventilation for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

The purpose of non-invasive home mechanical ventilation (HMV) in individuals who have COPD is to provide a treatment option that is an improvement over high flow oxygen or BPAP.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is individuals with COPD and chronic respiratory failure that is refractory to pharmacologic management, oxygen, and BPAP.

Interventions

The therapy being considered is HMV. Mechanical ventilators are devices that deliver more controlled breathing with bilevel ventilation. They can be used either invasively with tracheostomy or non-invasively with a mask. Compared to BPAP, HMVs have additional ventilatory modes and ventilatory control along with features such as alarms and battery backup power for patients who require backup at night or daytime use with mobility. HMVs are classified by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration as life support devices.

Comparators

BPAP with high flow oxygen may be used to treat individuals with COPD and chronic respiratory failure.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are mortality, symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and hospitalization. These are measured with a variety of tools as shown in Table 4. This is a chronic treatment in a patient population with a high mortality rate. Therefore, follow-up at 12 months is of interest to measure readmissions and mortality and at 3 to 6 to measure blood gasses and health outcomes. It is not known whether $PaCO_2$ has an effect on health outcomes, or if it is only a marker of effective ventilation.

Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.

- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Review of Evidence Systematic Reviews

A technology assessment by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ, 2019) for the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services identified 5 studies on the initiation of HMV.^{21,} No studies were identified that compared initiation criteria for HMV versus BPAP. The AHRQ systematic review found low quality evidence that HMV compared to BPAP, CPAP, or no device was associated with significantly fewer hospital admissions. This was based on 2 observational studies that compared HMV to no device and a large study of administrative claims data (Vasquez et al, 2017, described below) that compared HMV, BPAP, and CPAP.

In 2020 the AHRQ authors published a meta-analysis of RCTs and comparative observational studies of patients with COPD and hypercapnia treated with BPAP or HMV.^{16,} The primary outcomes were mortality, all-cause hospital admissions, intubation, and quality of life. Based on 2 observational studies, HMV was significantly associated with fewer all-cause hospital admissions (rate ratio, 0.50; 95% CI, 0.35 to 0.71) compared with no device, but there was not a statistically significant difference in mortality (21.84% vs. 34.09%; OR, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.29 to 1.08). However, the evidence was low to moderate in quality and based on small numbers of studies.

Observational Studies

Vasquez et al (2017) performed a retrospective analysis of claims data of hospitalization in patients with COPD who did or did not receive therapy with CPAP, BPAP, or HMV.^{22,} Differences in COPDrelated hospitalization were compared from 6 months before the prescription of a device to 6 months after prescription of a device across the device types. Models were stratified by sleep disordered breathing, congestive heart failure, age less than or greater than 65 years, and chronic respiratory failure. There were 1,881,652 enrollees with at least 2 COPD-related claims; 28,774 were on BPAP, 112,119 on CPAP, and 1011 enrolled on HMV. After exclusion criteria (health plan coverage for 12 month before and 6 months after the index date) were applied, there were 39,385 patients on CPAP, 9,156 patients on BPAP, and 315 patients on HMV who were included in the analysis. Propensity matching was used to compare the NPPV groups to medication groups. Most patients (92.5%) were not receiving any form of NPPV. Continuous positive airway pressure was prescribed for 5.6% of patients, BPAP for 1.5% of patients, and HMV in less than 1% of patients. Most patients prescribed HMV were older and there was high geographic variability; a majority (59.1%) of HMV users resided in the south. A majority of patients prescribed CPAP or BPAP had co-morbid sleep-disordered breathing (57.4% and 59.1%, respectively), while HMV was prescribed most frequently in patients with acute respiratory failure (56.5%), hypoxemia (30.2%), and chronic respiratory failure (28.3%). Sleep disordered breathing was present in 20.3% of patients using HMV. Hospitalization rates were highest in the HMV group. While all forms of NPPV reduced hospitalization rates, HMV was associated most strongly with a reduction in hospitalizations (p<.001).

Section Summary: Home Mechanical Ventilation for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease There is low strength of evidence based on observational studies and claims data that HMV reduces the number of hospital admissions or number of patients with hospitalization compared to either no device or BPAP. Analysis of claims data also suggests that HMV is prescribed most frequently in patients with acute and chronic respiratory failure or hypoxemia. Due to the severity of the condition, high quality prospective controlled trials are unlikely in patients who have failed BPAP. It was also observed that there is high geographic variability in prescribing HMV. This finding suggests a possibility of inappropriate use in some areas of the country. Due to the severity of the condition, high quality prospective controlled trials are unlikely in patients who have failed BPAP. Therefore, HMV

8.01.64 Home Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure Devices for the Treatment of Respiratory Insufficiency and Failure Page 16 of 40

may be appropriate in situations where BPAP is not adequate to obtain needed pressures or when daytime use and battery backup is needed.

Bilevel Positive Airway Pressure for Restrictive Thoracic Disorder Due to Neuromuscular Disease Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

The purpose of BPAP in individuals who have restrictive thoracic disorder due to neuromuscular disease is to reduce morbidity and mortality in this population.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is individuals with restrictive thoracic disorder due to neuromuscular disease who have chronic respiratory failure.

Interventions

The therapy being considered is NPPV with BPAP.

Comparators

Standard medical care without BPAP may be used to treat patients with chronic respiratory failure due to neuromuscular disease.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are mortality, symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and hospitalization.

This is a chronic treatment in a patient population with a high mortality rate. Therefore, follow-up at 12 months is of interest to measure readmissions and mortality and at 3 to 6 to measure blood gasses and health outcomes. It is not known whether $PaCO_2$ has an effect on health outcomes, or if it is only a marker of effective ventilation.

Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Review of Evidence

Systematic Reviews

A Cochrane systematic review by Annane et al (2014) identified 10 clinical trials (N=173) evaluating nocturnal mechanical ventilation (volume-cycled mechanical ventilation or BPAP) in patients with hypoventilation due to neuromuscular or chest wall disorders. ^{23,} The included trials enrolled patients with motor neuron disease (n=3), chest wall deformity (n=3), Duchenne muscular dystrophy (n=1), or mixed populations (n=3). Trials comparing mechanical ventilation to standard of care or those evaluating different methods of ventilation were included. Only 2 trials evaluated HMV; thus, the majority of the evidence is for BPAP. The largest study (N=70) was conducted specifically in patients

8.01.64 Home Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure Devices for the Treatment of Respiratory Insufficiency and Failure Page 17 of 40

with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Studies included in the systematic review are summarized in Table 6. Tables 7 and 8 describe the characteristics and results of the systematic review. Although the quality of the studies was low, nocturnal mechanical ventilation lowered mortality among patients with neuromuscular or chest wall disorders.

Table 6. Studies Included in Cochrane Review

Study	Annane (2014)
Struik et al (2011) ^{24,}	

8.01.64 Home Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure Devices for the Treatment of Respiratory Insufficiency and Failure Page 18 of 40

observational studies conducted in children with neuromuscular disorders, NPPV (BPAP or method unreported) improved mortality compared with standard of care.

Home Mechanical Ventilation for Restrictive Thoracic Disorder Due to Neuromuscular Disease Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

The purpose of non-invasive HMV in individuals who have restrictive thoracic disorder due to neuromuscular disease is to reduce morbidity and mortality in this population.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is individuals with restrictive thoracic disorder due to neuromuscular disease who have chronic respiratory failure.

Interventions

The therapy being considered is NPPV with non-invasive HMV.

Comparators

BPAP may be used to treat individual with chronic respiratory failure due to neuromuscular disease.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are mortality, symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and hospitalization.

This is a chronic treatment in a patient population with a high mortality rate. Therefore, follow-up at 12 months is of interest to measure readmissions and mortality and at 3 to 6 to measure blood gasses and health outcomes. It is not known whether $PaCO_2$ has an effect on health outcomes, or if it is only a marker of effective ventilation.

Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Review of Evidence

Systematic Reviews

A technology assessment by the AHRQ (2019) for CMS identified 3 observational studies on the initiation of HMV in patients with neuromuscular disease.^{21,} One study (N=144) compared HMV versus BPAP in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (see Sancho et al 2014 below).^{35,} The other studies were not relevant to this review of evidence.

Nonrandomized Studies

Sancho et al (2014) retrospectively compared BPAP with volume-cycled ventilation in patients with ALS.^{35,} A total of 144 patients were identified with 82 receiving BPAP and 62 receiving HMV. No differences in survival were found between groups (median 15.00 months in both groups; p=.533).

8.01.64 Home Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure Devices for the Treatment of Respiratory Insufficiency and Failure Page 19 of 40

More patients had effective ventilation (gas exchange and hypoventilation symptoms) with HMV than BPAP (72.41% vs. 48.78%; p<.001).

Section Summary: Home Mechanical Ventilation for Restrictive Thoracic Disorder Due to Neuromuscular Disease

The evidence for the use of HMV for hypoventilation as a result of neuromuscular disease includes observational studies. One observational study comparing BPAP to HMV found no difference in survival between these ventilation methods, although more patients received effective ventilation with HMV.

Continuous Positive Airway Pressure for Obesity Hypoventilation Syndrome Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

Sleep-related breathing disorders are common in individuals with obesity hypoventilation syndrome (OHS). The coexistence of OHS and OSA is associated with more pronounced hypoxemia and hypercapnia than either condition individually, and patients are at greater risk of morbidity and mortality compared to patients who have either OHS or OSA alone. The purpose of CPAP in these patients is to reduce morbidity and mortality in this population.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is individuals with OHS who have clinically significant OSA. Obstructive sleep apnea is a partial or intermittent upper airway collapse that results in hypoxemia and arousal during sleep (see Blue Shield of California Medical Policies: Diagnosis of Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome).

Clinically significant OSA in adults that is indicated for treatment is defined as:

- An Apnea/Hypopnea Index or Respiratory Disturbance Index of at least 15 events per hour, OR
- An Apnea/Hypopnea Index or Respiratory Disturbance Index of at least 5 events per hour in a
 patient with 1 or more signs or symptoms associated with OSA (e.g., excessive daytime
 sleepiness, hypertension, cardiovascular heart disease, or stroke);

The criterion standard for a diagnosis of sleep disorders is a polysomnogram performed in a sleep laboratory. A standard polysomnogram includes electroencephalogram, submental electromyogram, and electrooculogram (to detect rapid eye movement sleep) for sleep staging. Polysomnography also typically includes electrocardiography and monitoring of respiratory airflow, effort, snoring, oxygen desaturation, and sleep position. A variety of devices have been developed specifically to evaluate OSA at home. They range from portable full polysomnography systems to single-channel oximeters. Available devices evaluate different parameters, which may include oximetry, respiratory and cardiac monitoring, and sleep/wake activity.

A definition of terms and scoring criteria for OSA are described in Table 3.

Interventions

The therapy being considered is CPAP.

Comparators

Oxygen therapy may be used for OHS with OSA.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are mortality, symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and hospitalization.

Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Review of Evidence Systematic Reviews

A technology assessment by the AHRQ (2019) for CMS identified 1 trial comparing CPAP to no device in patients with OHS and OSA.^{21,} This study by Masa et al (2015) is summarized below.^{36,}

Randomized Controlled Trials

Masa et al (2015, 2016, 2019, 2020) conducted a series of open-label, multicenter RCTs evaluating NPPV in patients with OHS.^{37,36,38,39,} The initial study, Masa et al (2015), enrolled patients with concomitant OHS and OSA. Patients (N=221) were randomized to NPPV (as mixed mechanical ventilation/BPAP), CPAP, or lifestyle modification.³⁶, Lifestyle modifications included a low-calorie diet, sleep hygiene, and avoidance of alcohol or tobacco. NPPV was administered throughout the entire sleep period at bilevel pressure. Daytime use was to be adjusted to normal oxygen saturation (if possible). Both CPAP and NPPV resulted in greater improvements in PaCO₂ compared with lifestyle interventions, but no significant difference in $PaCO_2$ was found between CPAP and NPPV. Other outcomes including HRQL, 6MWD, and spirometry had greater improvement with NPPV than CPAP. The trial was limited by a short follow-up duration of 2 months and lack of comparative risk calculations. After this 2 month trial, patients were enrolled in the long-term extension trial.^{39,} Patients treated with only lifestyle interventions were re-randomized to NPPV or CPAP. At a median follow up of 5.44 years, patients treated with NPPV had lower mean hospitalization days compared with CPAP treatment, but the difference was nonsignificant. Mortality was also similar between groups (15% with CPAP vs. 11% with NPPV; hazard ratio [HR], 0.92, 95% CI, 0.36 to 1.87; p=.631).

Howard et al (2017) compared CPAP and BPAP for initial treatment in patients with OHS and severe OSA in a double-blind RCT.^{40,} A total of 57 patients were treated for 3 months. Outcomes were similar between groups, but the trial is limited by the small sample size and short duration of follow-up prohibiting any conclusions regarding differences in mortality.

Tables 9 and 10 summarize RCT characteristics and results, respectively.

Table 9. Summary of Key RCT Characteristics

Table 5. Soffilliary of Ney NCT Characteristics						
Study	Countries	Sites	Dates	Participants	Interventions	i
					Active	Comparator
Masa et al (2015) ^{36,}	Spain	16	2009-2013	OHS and OSA without COPD	NPPV (n=71)/CPAP (n=80)	Lifestyle (n=70)
Masa et al (2019) ^{39,}	Spain	16	2009-2013	OHS and OSA without COPD	NPPV (n=97)	CPAP (n=107)
Howard et al (2017) ^{40,}	Australia	2	2011-2013	OHS and OSA	CPAP (n=31)	BPAP (n=29)

BPAP: bilevel positive airway pressure; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; NPPV: non-invasive positive airway pressure ventilation; OHS: obesity hypoventilation syndrome; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; RCT: randomized controlled trial.

Table 10. Summary of Key RCT Results

Study	PaCO ₂ (mmHg) Change from Baseline	PaO₂ (mmHg) Change from Baseline	FEV ₁ (%) Change from Baseline	6MWD (m) Change from Baseline	Hospitalization Days (Per Year Per Patient)	
Masa et al (2015) ^{36,}	N=221	N=221	N=221	N=221		
CPAP	-3.7	5.5	-1.8	6.0		
NPPV	-5.5	4.8	4.8	32		
Control	-3.2	1.9	-1.5	16		
Masa et al (2019) ^{39,}					N=204	
NPPV					1.44	
CPAP					1.63	
Adjusted rate ratio (95% CI)					0.78 (0.34 to 1.77)	
p-value					.561	
Howard et al (2017) ^{40,}						
CPAP						13.3%
BPAP						14.8%
Difference (95% CI)						1.5% (-16.6 to 19.6)
p-value						.87

6MWD: 6-minute walk distance; CI, confidence interval; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; NPPV: non-invasive positive airway pressure ventilation; PaCO₂: partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide; PaO₂: partial pressure of arterial oxygen; RCT: randomized controlled trial.

^a Defined as device usage <2 hours per night at 2 consecutive reviews, a hospital admission during the trial, or

Tables 11 and 12 describe limitations of the RCTs.

Table 11. Study Relevance Limitations

 $PaCO_2 > 60 \text{ mmHg}.$

Study	Population ^a	Intervention ^b Comparator ^c O	utcomes ^d	Duration of Follow-up ^e
Masa et	4. Conducted	1.		1,2. 2 months of follow-up
al	only in Spain	M	lorbidity/mortality	insufficient for outcomes of chronic
$(2015)^{36}$		do	ata lacking	treatment
Howard	4. Conducted			1,2. 3 months of follow-up
et al	only in			insufficient for outcomes of chronic
(2017) ^{40,}	Australia			treatment

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

Table 12. Study Design and Conduct Limitations

Study	Allocation ^a Blinding ^b	Selective Reporting ^c	Data Completeness ^d	Power ^e Statistical ^f
Masa	1,2. Trial was			3,4. Lacking comparative
(2015)36,	open-label			treatment effects and CIs

^a Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Study population is unclear; 3. Study population not representative of intended use; 4, Enrolled populations do not reflect relevant diversity; 5. Other.

^b Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator;

^{4.} Not the intervention of interest (e.g., proposed as an adjunct but not tested as such); 5: Other.

^c Comparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively; 5. Other.

^d Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. Incomplete reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinically significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinically significant difference not supported; 7. Other.

e Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms; 3. Other.

Study	Allocation ^a Blinding ^b	Selective Reporting ^c	Data Completeness ^a	Power ^e Statistical ^f
Howard et				
al (2017) ^{40,}				

CI: confidence interval.

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

- ^a Allocation key: 1. Participants not randomly allocated; 2. Allocation not concealed; 3. Allocation concealment unclear; 4. Inadequate control for selection bias; 5. Other.
- ^b Blinding key: 1. Participants or study staff not blinded; 2. Outcome assessors not blinded; 3. Outcome assessed by treating physician; 4. Other.
- ^c Selective Reporting key: 1. Not registered; 2. Evidence of selective reporting; 3. Evidence of selective publication; 4. Other.
- ^d Data Completeness key: 1. High loss to follow-up or missing data; 2. Inadequate handling of missing data; 3. High number of crossovers; 4. Inadequate handling of crossovers; 5. Inappropriate exclusions; 6. Not intent to treat analysis (per protocol for noninferiority trials); 7. Other.
- ^e Power key: 1. Power calculations not reported; 2. Power not calculated for primary outcome; 3. Power not based on clinically important difference; 4. Other.
- f Statistical key: 1. Analysis is not appropriate for outcome type: (a) continuous; (b) binary; (c) time to event; 2. Analysis is not appropriate for multiple observations per patient; 3. Confidence intervals and/or p values not reported; 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated; 5. Other.

Nonrandomized Studies

Arellano-Maric et al (2020) conducted a prospective observational trial to determine whether patients with OHS and OSA could be switched from NPPV to CPAP. ⁴¹, Patients were recruited from the sleep units of 3 hospitals in Germany. All patients had received successful NPPV (PaCO $_2 \le 45$ mmHg and <20% total sleep time with oxygen saturation <20%) for at least 3 months. Those patients who were successfully switched to CPAP (n=37) continued CPAP for 4 to 6 weeks. Five patients who did not meet criteria for CPAP switch requested to be switched to CPAP for a total sample size of 42 patients. During the study period, 30 of 42 patients (71%) maintained daytime PaCO $_2 \le 45$ mmHg. Quality of life, sleep parameters, and lung function were similar after the switch to CPAP. The authors concluded that CPAP is a feasible alternative to NPPV in patients with concomitant OHS and OSA.

Section Summary: Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Ventilation for Obesity Hypoventilation Syndrome

The evidence for use of CPAP in patients with OHS includes RCTs, a long-term extension study, and an observational study of patients with both OHS and OSA. The largest randomized trial showed improvement in outcomes with CPAP compared with lifestyle interventions and similar $PaCO_2$ outcomes with CPAP and NPPV. Long-term extension of this trial found similar hospitalization and mortality outcomes with these modalities. Observational data found that select patients with OHS and OSA can be successfully switched from BPAP to CPAP and maintain adequate $PaCO_2$.

Bilevel Positive Airway Pressure Ventilation for Obesity Hypoventilation Syndrome Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

The purpose of BPAP in individuals who have OHS is to reduce morbidity and mortality in this population.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is individuals with OHS.

Interventions

The therapy being considered is NPPV with BPAP.

Comparators

Oxygenation therapy or CPAP may be used to treat patients with hypoventilation syndromes.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are mortality, symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and hospitalization.

This is a chronic treatment in a patient population with a high mortality rate. Therefore, follow-up at 12 months is of interest to measure readmissions and mortality and at 3 to 6 to measure blood gasses and health outcomes. It is not known whether $PaCO_2$ has an effect on health outcomes, or if it is only a marker of effective ventilation.

Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Review of Evidence

Systematic Reviews

Multiple systematic reviews have evaluated various NPPV methods in patients with hypoventilation syndromes (Tables 13 to 15). Xu et al (2022) conducted a network meta-analysis evaluating various NPPV methods (CPAP and BPAP) in patients with OHS.^{42,} Trials enrolled obese patients (BMI \geq 30 mg/m²) with hypercapnia (PaCO₂ \geq 45 mmHg) who had no other causes for the hypercapnia. BPAP-average volume-assured pressure support (AVAPS) and BPAP-spontaneous timed (ST) pressure support were the most effective methods for improving sleep and PaCO₂.

Afshar et al (2020) conducted a systematic review of 25 studies (3 RCTs) evaluating NPPV (CPAP and BPAP) in patients with OHS.^{43,} Table 13 identifies the 3 RCTS included in the analysis (the 12 nonrandomized comparative studies and 10 studies without a comparator group are not included). Overall, NPPV was associated with improved mortality, daytime sleepiness, and hospital visits; however, the evidence had low to very low certainty and was considered very low quality.

Table 13. Comparison of Trials Included in the Systematic Reviews

•			
Study	Χυ (2022) ^{42,}	Afshar (2020) ^{43,}	
Borel et al (2012) ^{44,}			

Table 14. Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses Characteristics

Study	Dates	Trials	Participants	N (Range)	Design	Duration
Xu et al (2022) ^{42,}	Through Feb 2021	10	Obese patients with OHS receiving treatment	845 (20 to 204)	RCT	1 to 36 months
Afshar et al (2020) ^{43,}	Jan 1946 to Mai 2019	25 (3 RCTs)	Patients with OHS with or without OSA	342 from RCTs (3 to 221)	5 RCT	1 to 2 months

OHS: obesity hypoventilation syndrome; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; RCT: randomized controlled trial.

Table 15. Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses Results

Tuble 15. System	iddic Review dild i le	u-Allulyses Results		
Study	Daytime PaCO₂ (mmHg)	ESS		
Xu et al (2022) ^{42,}				
N	693	673		
BPAP-AVAPS (MD; 95% CI)	-3.02° (-4.42 to -1.62); -1.49° (95% CI, -2.82 to -0.16)	-3.36 (-4.62 to -2.10)		
BPAP-ST (MD; 95% CI) ^a	-2.06 (-3.83 to -0.29)	-2.43 (-4.29 to -0.57)		
CPAP (MD; 95% CI)		-3.03 (-4.46 to -1.60)		
BPAP-S		-6.03 (-10.62 to -1.44)		
Afshar et al (2020)) ^{43,}			
	Daytime PaCO₂ (mmHg)	Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire	АНІ	Hypercapnia resolution
N	342	229	342	342
RR (95% CI) ^c				1.39 (0.97 to 2.00)
MD (95% CI) ^c	-2.40 (-3.77 to -1.03)	6.59 (2.47 to 10.70)	-33.99 episodes/hour (-65.45 to -2.53)	
P	10%	0%	97%	

AHI: apnea-hypopnea index; BPAP-AVAPS: bilevel positive airway pressure-average volume-assured pressure support; BPAP-S: bilevel positive airway pressure-spontaneous; BPAP-ST: bilevel positive airway pressure-spontaneous times; CI: confidence interval; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; ESS: Epworth Sleep Scale; MD: mean difference; PaCO₂: partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide; RR: relative risk.

Randomized Trials

In 2016, Masa et al published a trial conducted in patients with OHS but without severe OSA (Tables 16 and 17). Tables 16 and 17). Patients (N=86) were randomized to BPAP or lifestyle modification. Patients treated with BPAP had greater improvement in PaCO2 than those who received lifestyle modification. Daytime sleepiness and some health-related quality of life parameters were also improved. Similar to the 2015 study previously described, the follow-up was limited to 2 months. Long-term outcomes were also published by Masa et al (2020) in patients (N=98) without severe OSA. Compared with control, BPAP did not significantly reduce mean hospitalization days per year, cardiovascular events, or mortality but did improve $PaCO_2$, quality of life, and daytime sleepiness at a median follow-up of 4.98 years.

Table 16. Summary of Key RCT Characteristics

Study	Countries	Sites	Dates	Participants	Interventions	
					Active	Comparator
Masa et al (2016) ^{38,}	Spain	16	2009-2013	OHS without OSA	BPAP (n=40)	Lifestyle (n=46)
Masa et al (2020) ^{37,}	Spain	16	2009-2016	OHS without OSA	BPAP (n=48)	Lifestyle (n=48)

^a Compared with lifestyle.

^b Compared with CPAP.

^c Data from 3 RCTs compared with control.

BPAP: bilevel positive airway pressure; NPPV: non-invasive positive airway pressure ventilation; OHS: obesity hypoventilation syndrome; OSA: obstructive sleep apnea; RCT: randomized controlled trial.

Table 17. Summary of Key RCT Results

Study	PaCO₂ (mmHg) Change from Baseline	PaO₂ (mmHg) Change from Baseline	FEV ₁ (%) Change from Baseline	6MWD (m) Change from Baseline	Hospitalization Days (Per Year Per Patient)
Masa et al (2016) ^{38,}					
BPAP	-6	4.6	1.8	29	
Control	-2.8	1.4	1.9	-7.2	
Masa et al (2020) ^{37,}					N=96
BPAP					2.71
Control					2.60
Adjusted rate ratio (95% CI)					1.07 (0.44 to 2.59)
p-value					.882

6MWD: 6-minute walk distance; BPAP: bilevel positive airway pressure; CI, confidence interval; FEV_1 : forced expiratory volume in 1 second; $PaCO_2$: partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide; PaO_2 : partial pressure of arterial oxygen; RCT: randomized controlled trial.

The limitations of the Masa RCT are summarized in Tables 18 and 19.

Table 18. Study Relevance Limitations

Study	Population ^a	Intervention ^b Comparator ^c Outcomes ^d	Duration of Follow-upe
Masa et	4. Conducted	1.	1,2. 2 months of follow-up
al	only in Spain	Morbidity/mortality	insufficient for outcomes of chronic
$(2016)^{36,38}$	•	data lacking	treatment

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

Table 19. Study Design and Conduct Limitations

Study	Allocationa	Blindingb	Selective Reporting ^c	Data Completeness ^d	 Statistical ^f
Masa et al		1,2. Trial was			3,4. Lacking comparative
(2016)38,		open-label			treatment effects and CIs

CI: confidence interval.

The study limitations stated in this table are those notable in the current review; this is not a comprehensive gaps assessment.

^a Population key: 1. Intended use population unclear; 2. Study population is unclear; 3. Study population not representative of intended use; 4. Enrolled populations do not reflect relevant diversity; 5. Other.

^b Intervention key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Version used unclear; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as comparator;

^{4.} Not the intervention of interest (e.g., proposed as an adjunct but not tested as such); 5: Other.

^cComparator key: 1. Not clearly defined; 2. Not standard or optimal; 3. Delivery not similar intensity as intervention; 4. Not delivered effectively; 5. Other.

^d Outcomes key: 1. Key health outcomes not addressed; 2. Physiologic measures, not validated surrogates; 3. Incomplete reporting of harms; 4. Not establish and validated measurements; 5. Clinically significant difference not prespecified; 6. Clinically significant difference not supported; 7. Other.

^e Follow-Up key: 1. Not sufficient duration for benefit; 2. Not sufficient duration for harms; 3. Other.

^a Allocation key: 1. Participants not randomly allocated; 2. Allocation not concealed; 3. Allocation concealment unclear; 4. Inadequate control for selection bias; 5. Other.

^b Blinding key: 1. Participants or study staff not blinded; 2. Outcome assessors not blinded; 3. Outcome assessed by treating physician; 4. Other.

^c Selective Reporting key: 1. Not registered; 2. Evidence of selective reporting; 3. Evidence of selective publication; 4. Other.

^d Data Completeness key: 1. High loss to follow-up or missing data; 2. Inadequate handling of missing data; 3. High number of crossovers; 4. Inadequate handling of crossovers; 5. Inappropriate exclusions; 6. Not intent to

treat analysis (per protocol for noninferiority trials); 7. Other.

^e Power key: 1. Power calculations not reported; 2. Power not calculated for primary outcome; 3. Power not based on clinically important difference; 4. Other.

f Statistical key: 1. Analysis is not appropriate for outcome type: (a) continuous; (b) binary; (c) time to event; 2. Analysis is not appropriate for multiple observations per patient; 3. Confidence intervals and/or p values not reported; 4. Comparative treatment effects not calculated; 5. Other.

Section Summary: Bilevel Positive Airway Pressure Ventilation for Obesity Hypoventilation Syndrome

The evidence for BPAP in OHS consists of systematic reviews of NPPV with either CPAP or BPAP and an RCT specific to BPAP in patients with OHS but without OSA. Masa et al (2016) enrolled patients who had OHS but not OSA and randomized them to BPAP or lifestyle interventions. In patients with OHS and without OSA, BPAP resulted in better $PaCO_2$ outcomes than lifestyle modifications in the short-term, but long-term outcomes failed to find significant improvement in hospitalization days between groups.

Home Mechanical Ventilation for Obesity Hypoventilation Syndrome Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

The purpose of non-invasive HMV in individuals who have OHS is to provide a treatment option that is an improvement over other treatments including high flow oxygen or BPAP.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is individuals with OHS that is refractory to pharmacologic management, oxygen, and BPAP.

Interventions

The therapy being considered is HMV. Mechanical ventilators are devices that deliver more controlled breathing with bilevel ventilation. They can be used either invasively with tracheostomy or non-invasively with a mask. Compared to BPAP, HMVs have additional ventilatory modes and ventilatory control along with features such as alarms and battery backup power for patients who require backup at night or daytime use with mobility. HMVs are classified by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration as life support devices.

Comparators

BPAP with high flow oxygen may be used to treat individuals with OHS and chronic respiratory failure.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are mortality, symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and hospitalization.

This is a chronic treatment in a patient population with a high mortality rate. Therefore, follow-up at 12 months is of interest to measure readmissions and mortality and at 3 to 6 to measure blood gasses and health outcomes. It is not known whether $PaCO_2$ has an effect on health outcomes, or if it is only a marker of effective ventilation.

Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.

- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Review of Evidence

No randomized or nonrandomized studies specific to HMV in patients with OHS, but without concomitant OSA or COPD were identified.

Section Summary: Home Mechanical Ventilation for Obesity Hypoventilation Syndrome Clinical trials specific to HMV in OHS are lacking.

Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure for Hypoventilation Syndromes Unrelated to Obesity Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose

The purpose of NPPV including BPAP or HMV in individuals who have hypoventilation syndromes without obesity is to provide a treatment option that is an improvement over standard medical care including invasive mechanical ventilation.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

Populations

The relevant population of interest is individuals with hypoventilation syndromes unrelated to obesity.

Interventions

The therapy being considered is NPPV with BPAP or HMV.

Comparators

Oxygen may be used to treat patients with hypoventilation syndromes and chronic respiratory failure. Patients with hypoventilation syndromes may require invasive ventilation.

Outcomes

The general outcomes of interest are mortality, symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, quality of life, and hospitalization.

This is a chronic treatment in a patient population with a high mortality rate. Therefore, follow-up at 12 months is of interest to measure readmissions and mortality and at 3 to 6 to measure blood gasses and health outcomes. It is not known whether $PaCO_2$ has an effect on health outcomes, or if it is only a marker of effective ventilation.

Study Selection Criteria

Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:

- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs;
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Review of Evidence

The evidence for NPPV in patients with hypoventilation syndromes unrelated to obesity is limited to case reports and case series primarily in patients with congenital central hypoventilation. In some cases NPPV may avoid the need for invasive mechanical ventilation. 49,50,42,

Section Summary: Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure for Hypoventilation Syndromes Unrelated to Obesity

Case series and case reports support that NPPV may be useful to limit invasive mechanical ventilation in some patients with hypoventilation syndromes unrelated to obesity.

Supplemental Information

The purpose of the following information is to provide reference material. Inclusion does not imply endorsement or alignment with the evidence review conclusions.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American College of Chest Physicians

In 2023, the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) published clinical practice guidelines for respiratory management of patients with neuromuscular weakness.^{51,} Most evidence is based on observational data from patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. The guidelines recommend non-invasive ventilation (NIV) for patients with neuromuscular disease and chronic respiratory failure for patients who meet the following pulmonary function test criteria:

- Forced vital capacity (FVC) <80% predicted with symptoms or FVC <50% predicted without symptoms;
- Maximum inspiratory pressure (MIP) <60 cm H₂O or maximum expiratory pressure (MEP) <40 cm H₂O;
- Peak cough flow (PCF) <270 L/min for age ≥12 years or PCF <5th percentile for age <12 years;
- Sniff nasal inspiratory pressure (SNIP) <70 cm H_2O in male patients, SNIP <60 cm H_2O in female patients for age \geq 12 years.

The panel found no strong evidence to support one method of NIV over another.

American College of Chest Physicians et al

In 2021, the ACCP, the American Association for Respiratory Care, the American Academy of Sleep Medicine, and the American Thoracic Society published a technical expert panel report on optimal NIV for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), thoracic restrictive disorders, and hypoventilation syndromes.^{52,53,54,}

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

For COPD the panel recommends that overnight oxygen saturation should not be part of the criteria for bilevel positive airway pressure (BPAP) and that home mechanical ventilators be considered when patients need any of the following:^{52,}

- "Higher inspiratory pressures than those deliverable by E0471,
- FIO₂ [fraction of inspired oxygen] higher than 40% or 5 L/min nasally,
- Ventilator support for 10 h per day or greater (ie, daytime use),
- Both sophisticated alarms and accompanying internal battery (high-dependency patient),
- Mouthpiece ventilation during the day,

- Persistence of hypercapnia with PaCO₂ [arterial blood carbon dioxide] > 52 mmHg despite adequate adherence to BPAP therapy"
- The panel strongly recommended the use of respiratory therapists in the home for initiation and ongoing support for positive pressure ventilation with either BPAP or home ventilators.

Thoracic Restrictive Disorders

For thoracic restrictive disorders, the panel recommends BPAP for patients with any of the following:^{53,}

- "Spirometry (upright or supine) with vital capacity <50% predicted or <80% predicted with associated symptoms (i.e., orthopnea, dyspnea, morning headaches, excessive daytime sleepiness, or unrefreshing sleep),
- Force testing with maximal inspiratory pressure <60 cm H₂O,
- Hypercapnia:
 - o Chronic stable daytime (awake) hypercapnia with PaCO₂ >45 mmHg,
 - o Venous blood gas PCO₂, end-tidal PCO₂, or transcutaneous PCO₂, >50 mmHg, or
- Hypoxia:
 - Overnight oximetry in-laboratory or home sleep test with saturation <88% for 5 minutes."

Home mechanical ventilation is recommended in patients with vital capacity <30% or if BPAP fails.

Hypoventilation Syndromes

For patients with hypoventilation syndromes who are obese the recommendations include:^{54,}

BPAP (spontaneous/timed) or volume-assured pressure support (VAPS) for those who are
discharged from the hospital, for those with obesity hypoventilation syndrome (OHS) without
obstructive sleep apnea, and for those who have failed continuous positive airway pressure
(CPAP).

For patients with hypoventilation syndromes due to reduced respiratory drive or advanced lung disease that is not COPD, BPAP (spontaneous/timed) or VAPS is recommended. Patients with hypoventilation syndromes who fail BPAP/VAPS should receive home mechanical ventilation.

American Thoracic Society

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

In 2020, the American Thoracic Society published an evidence-based clinical practice guideline on long-term non-invasive ventilation in chronic stable hypercapnic COPD.^{2,} The society included the recommendations in Table 20, all of which were conditional due to moderate to very low certainty in the evidence base.

Table 20. American Thoracic Society Recommendations for COPD

Recommendation	Strength of Recommendation	Level of Certainty
"We suggest the use of nocturnal noninvasive ventilation (NIV) in addition to usual care for patients with chronic stable hypercapnic COPD."	Conditional	Moderate
"We suggest that patients with chronic stable hypercapnic COPD undergo screening for obstructive sleep apnea before initiation of long-term NIV."	Conditional	Very low
"We suggest not initiating long-term NIV during an admission for acute on-chronic hypercapnic respiratory failure, favoring instead reassessment for NIV at 2–4 weeks after resolution."	Conditional	Low
"We suggest not using an in-laboratory overnight polysomnogram (PSG) to titrate NIV in patients with chronic stable hypercapnic COPD who are initiating NIV."	Conditional	Very low
"We suggest NIV with targeted normalization of PaCO ₂ in patients with hypercapnic COPD on long-term NIV."	Conditional	Low

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; NIV: non-invasive ventilation; PaCO2: pressure of carbon dioxide; PSG: polysomnogram.

Hypercapnic COPD defined as PaCO2 > 45 mmHg.

Obesity Hypoventilation Syndrome

In 2019, the American Thoracic Society published a clinical practice guideline on OHS.⁵⁵, These guidelines recommend positive airway pressure for patients with OHS. Generally CPAP is recommended over other NIV because the majority (>70%) of patients have concomitant obstructive sleep apnea (OSA). The guidelines do recommend non-invasive positive airway pressure ventilation (NPPV) initiation at discharge for patients hospitalized with respiratory failure suspected of having OHS until they undergo outpatient workup and titration of positive airway pressure therapy. Both recommendations were conditional with very low level of certainty in the evidence.

Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

The Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (GOLD) published a revised report for 2024.^{1,} GOLD guidelines recommend at least 1 of the following as an indication for non-invasive mechanical ventilation:

- Respiratory acidosis (PaCO₂ ≥45 mmHg and arterial pH ≤7.35);
- Severe dyspnea with clinical signs suggestive of respiratory muscle fatigue, increased work of breathing, or both;
- Persistent hypoxemia despite supplemental oxygen therapy.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Global

In 2019, the United Kingdom's NICE published a guideline for the diagnosis and management of COPD.⁵⁶, NICE recommends that patients with COPD who have chronic hypercapnic respiratory failure despite adequate pharmacologic and oxygen therapy should be referred to a specialist center for consideration of long-term, non-invasive ventilation.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services requested topic review by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). The technology assessment was published February 2020.^{21,}

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 21.

Table 21. Summary of Key Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			
NCT01037387	Effect of the Noninvasive Mechanical Ventilation on the Daily Physical Activity and the Inflammatory Biomarkers in Stable Patients With COPD		Dec 2025
NCT02811588	Registry of Stable Hypercapnic Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Treated With Non- Invasive Ventilation Amendment: Home Tele- Monitoring of Non-Invasive Ventilation in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	550	Jun 2023
NCT03647462	The Impact of Early Diagnosis and Treatment of OSA on Hospital Readmission in Hospitalized Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Patients: the COPD Readmit Clinical Trial	100	Apr 2025

8.01.64 Home Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure Devices for the Treatment of Respiratory Insufficiency and Failure Page 31 of 40

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
NCT03221101	Home Non Invasive Ventilation Versus Long Term Oxygen Therapy Alone in COPD Survivors After Acute Hypercapnic Respiratory Failure. A French Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial	86	Dec 2025
NCT05805293	High-Velocity Nasal Insufflation Therapy Versus Non- Invasive Ventilation In Management Of Acute Hypercapnic Respiratory Failure In Obesity Hypoventilation Syndrome: A Randomized Controlled Trial	56	Jun 2024
Unpublished			
NCT03766542	Optimal Positive Airway Pressure in Overlap Syndrome: a Randomized Controlled Trial	70	Sep 2020 (unknown)

NCT: national clinical trial.

References

- Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). Global Strategy for Prevention, Diagnosis, and Management of COPD: 2024 Report. www.goldcopd.org. Accessed January 11, 2024.
- Macrea M, Oczkowski S, Rochwerg B, et al. Long-Term Noninvasive Ventilation in Chronic Stable Hypercapnic Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. An Official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Aug 15 2020; 202(4): e74-e87. PMID 32795139
- 3. Mathews AM, Wysham NG, Xie J, et al. Hypercapnia in Advanced Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Secondary Analysis of the National Emphysema Treatment Trial. Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis. Oct 2020; 7(4): 336-345. PMID 32877962
- 4. Orr JE, Azofra AS, Tobias LA. Management of Chronic Respiratory Failure in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: High-Intensity and Low-Intensity Ventilation. Sleep Med Clin. Dec 2020; 15(4): 497-509. PMID 33131660
- 5. Martinez-Pitre PJ, Sabbula BR, Cascella M. Restrictive Lung Disease. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; July 25, 2022.
- 6. Carmona H, Graustein AD, Benditt JO. Chronic Neuromuscular Respiratory Failure and Home Assisted Ventilation. Annu Rev Med. Jan 27 2023; 74: 443-455. PMID 36706747
- 7. Gay PC, Owens RL, Gay PC, et al. Executive Summary: Optimal NIV Medicare Access Promotion: A Technical Expert Panel Report From the American College of Chest Physicians, the American Association for Respiratory Care, the American Academy of Sleep Medicine, and the American Thoracic Society. Chest. Nov 2021; 160(5): 1808-1821. PMID 34339685
- 8. McConville JF, Solway J, Mokhlesi B. Disorders of Ventilation. In: Loscalzo J, Fauci A, Kasper D, Hauser S, Longo D, Jameson J. eds. Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 21e. McGraw Hill; 2022. Accessed January 11, 2024.
 - https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?bookid=3095§ionid=265457022
- 9. Wiles SP, Aboussouan LS, Mireles-Cabodevila E. Noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in stable patients with COPD. Curr Opin Pulm Med. Mar 2020; 26(2): 175-185. PMID 31895118
- 10. Srivali N, Thongprayoon C, Tangpanithandee S, et al. The use of continuous positive airway pressure in COPD-OSA overlap syndrome: A systematic review. Sleep Med. Aug 2023; 108: 55-60. PMID 37336060
- 11. Marin JM, Soriano JB, Carrizo SJ, et al. Outcomes in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and obstructive sleep apnea: the overlap syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Aug 01 2010; 182(3): 325–31. PMID 20378728
- 12. Machado MC, Vollmer WM, Togeiro SM, et al. CPAP and survival in moderate-to-severe obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome and hypoxaemic COPD. Eur Respir J. Jan 2010; 35(1): 132-7. PMID 19574323

^a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

- 13. Jaoude P, Kufel T, El-Solh AA. Survival benefit of CPAP favors hypercapnic patients with the overlap syndrome. Lung. Apr 2014; 192(2): 251-8. PMID 24452812
- 14. Singh G, Agarwal A, Zhang W, et al. Impact of PAP therapy on hospitalization rates in Medicare beneficiaries with COPD and coexisting OSA. Sleep Breath. Mar 2019; 23(1): 193-200. PMID 29931497
- 15. Raveling T, Vonk J, Struik FM, et al. Chronic non-invasive ventilation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Aug 09 2021; 8(8): CD002878. PMID 34368950
- Wilson ME, Dobler CC, Morrow AS, et al. Association of Home Noninvasive Positive Pressure Ventilation With Clinical Outcomes in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA. Feb 04 2020; 323(5): 455-465. PMID 32016309
- 17. Köhnlein T, Windisch W, Köhler D, et al. Non-invasive positive pressure ventilation for the treatment of severe stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective, multicentre, randomised, controlled clinical trial. Lancet Respir Med. Sep 2014; 2(9): 698-705. PMID 25066329
- 18. McEvoy RD, Pierce RJ, Hillman D, et al. Nocturnal non-invasive nasal ventilation in stable hypercapnic COPD: a randomised controlled trial. Thorax. Jul 2009; 64(7): 561-6. PMID 19213769
- Murphy PB, Rehal S, Arbane G, et al. Effect of Home Noninvasive Ventilation With Oxygen Therapy vs Oxygen Therapy Alone on Hospital Readmission or Death After an Acute COPD Exacerbation: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. Jun 06 2017; 317(21): 2177–2186. PMID 28528348
- 20. Struik FM, Sprooten RT, Kerstjens HA, et al. Nocturnal non-invasive ventilation in COPD patients with prolonged hypercapnia after ventilatory support for acute respiratory failure: a randomised, controlled, parallel-group study. Thorax. Sep 2014; 69(9): 826-34. PMID 24781217
- Wilson M, Wang Z, Dobler C, et al. Noninvasive Positive Pressure Ventilation in the Home. Project ID: PULT0717 (Prepared by the Mayo Clinic Evidence-Based Practice Center under Contract No. HHSA290201500013I_HHSA29032004T). Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. March 2019. https://www.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/wysiwyg/research/findings/ta/hmv/hmv-ta-fullreport.pdf. Accessed January 11, 2024.
- 22. Vasquez MM, McClure LA, Sherrill DL, et al. Positive Airway Pressure Therapies and Hospitalization in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Am J Med. Jul 2017; 130(7): 809-818. PMID 28089799
- 23. Annane D, Orlikowski D, Chevret S. Nocturnal mechanical ventilation for chronic hypoventilation in patients with neuromuscular and chest wall disorders. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Dec 13 2014; 2014(12): CD001941. PMID 25503955
- 24. Struik FM, Duiverman ML, Meijer PM, et al. Volume-targeted versus pressure-targeted noninvasive ventilation in patients with chest-wall deformity: a pilot study. Respir Care. Oct 2011; 56(10): 1522-5. PMID 21513604
- 25. Jaye J, Chatwin M, Dayer M, et al. Autotitrating versus standard noninvasive ventilation: a randomised crossover trial. Eur Respir J. Mar 2009; 33(3): 566-71. PMID 19251798
- 26. Bourke SC, Tomlinson M, Williams TL, et al. Effects of non-invasive ventilation on survival and quality of life in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Neurol. Feb 2006; 5(2): 140-7. PMID 16426990
- 27. Tuggey JM, Elliott MW. Randomised crossover study of pressure and volume non-invasive ventilation in chest wall deformity. Thorax. Oct 2005; 60(10): 859-64. PMID 16085730
- 28. Ward S, Chatwin M, Heather S, et al. Randomised controlled trial of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) for nocturnal hypoventilation in neuromuscular and chest wall disease patients with daytime normocapnia. Thorax. Dec 2005; 60(12): 1019-24. PMID 16299118
- 29. Willson GN, Piper AJ, Norman M, et al. Nasal versus full face mask for noninvasive ventilation in chronic respiratory failure. Eur Respir J. Apr 2004; 23(4): 605-9. PMID 15083762

- 30. Laserna E, Barrot E, Beiztegui A, et al. [Non-invasive ventilation in kyphoscoliosis. A comparison of a volumetric ventilator and a BIPAP support pressure device]. Arch Bronconeumol. Jan 2003; 39(1): 13-8. PMID 12550014
- 31. Jackson CE, Rosenfeld J, Moore DH, et al. A preliminary evaluation of a prospective study of pulmonary function studies and symptoms of hypoventilation in ALS/MND patients. J Neurol Sci. Oct 15 2001; 191(1-2): 75-8. PMID 11676995
- 32. Pinto AC, Evangelista T, Carvalho M, et al. Respiratory assistance with a non-invasive ventilator (Bipap) in MND/ALS patients: survival rates in a controlled trial. J Neurol Sci. May 1995; 129 Suppl: 19-26. PMID 7595610
- Raphael JC, Chevret S, Chastang C, et al. Randomised trial of preventive nasal ventilation in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. French Multicentre Cooperative Group on Home Mechanical Ventilation Assistance in Duchenne de Boulogne Muscular Dystrophy. Lancet. Jun 25 1994; 343(8913): 1600-4. PMID 7911921
- 34. AlBalawi MM, Castro-Codesal M, Featherstone R, et al. Outcomes of Long-Term Noninvasive Ventilation Use in Children with Neuromuscular Disease: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Ann Am Thorac Soc. Jan 2022; 19(1): 109-119. PMID 34181865
- 35. Sancho J, Servera E, Morelot-Panzini C, et al. Non-invasive ventilation effectiveness and the effect of ventilatory mode on survival in ALS patients. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener. Mar 2014; 15(1-2): 55-61. PMID 24266679
- Masa JF, Corral J, Alonso ML, et al. Efficacy of Different Treatment Alternatives for Obesity Hypoventilation Syndrome. Pickwick Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Jul 01 2015; 192(1): 86-95. PMID 25915102
- 37. Masa JF, Benítez I, Sánchez-Quiroga MÁ, et al. Long-term Noninvasive Ventilation in Obesity Hypoventilation Syndrome Without Severe OSA: The Pickwick Randomized Controlled Trial. Chest. Sep 2020; 158(3): 1176-1186. PMID 32343963
- 38. Masa JF, Corral J, Caballero C, et al. Non-invasive ventilation in obesity hypoventilation syndrome without severe obstructive sleep apnoea. Thorax. Oct 2016; 71(10): 899-906. PMID 27406165
- 39. Masa JF, Mokhlesi B, Benítez I, et al. Long-term clinical effectiveness of continuous positive airway pressure therapy versus non-invasive ventilation therapy in patients with obesity hypoventilation syndrome: a multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial. Lancet. Apr 27 2019; 393(10182): 1721-1732. PMID 30935737
- 40. Howard ME, Piper AJ, Stevens B, et al. A randomised controlled trial of CPAP versus non-invasive ventilation for initial treatment of obesity hypoventilation syndrome. Thorax. May 2017; 72(5): 437-444. PMID 27852952
- 41. Arellano-Maric MP, Hamm C, Duiverman ML, et al. Obesity hypoventilation syndrome treated with non-invasive ventilation: Is a switch to CPAP therapy feasible? Respirology. Apr 2020; 25(4): 435-442. PMID 31597227
- 42. Xu J, Wei Z, Li W, et al. Effect of different modes of positive airway pressure treatment on obesity hypoventilation syndrome: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Sleep Med. Mar 2022; 91: 51-58. PMID 35272117
- 43. Afshar M, Brozek JL, Soghier I, et al. The Role of Positive Airway Pressure Therapy in Adults with Obesity Hypoventilation Syndrome. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Ann Am Thorac Soc. Mar 2020; 17(3): 344-360. PMID 31726017
- 44. Borel JC, Tamisier R, Gonzalez-Bermejo J, et al. Noninvasive ventilation in mild obesity hypoventilation syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. Chest. Mar 2012; 141(3): 692-702. PMID 21885724
- 45. Murphy PB, Davidson C, Hind MD, et al. Volume targeted versus pressure support non-invasive ventilation in patients with super obesity and chronic respiratory failure: a randomised controlled trial. Thorax. Aug 2012; 67(8): 727-34. PMID 22382596
- 46. Patout M, Gagnadoux F, Rabec C, et al. AVAPS-AE versus ST mode: A randomized controlled trial in patients with obesity hypoventilation syndrome. Respirology. Oct 2020; 25(10): 1073-1081. PMID 32052923

- 47. Piper AJ, Wang D, Yee BJ, et al. Randomised trial of CPAP vs bilevel support in the treatment of obesity hypoventilation syndrome without severe nocturnal desaturation. Thorax. May 2008; 63(5): 395-401. PMID 18203817
- 48. Storre JH, Seuthe B, Fiechter R, et al. Average volume-assured pressure support in obesity hypoventilation: A randomized crossover trial. Chest. Sep 2006; 130(3): 815-21. PMID 16963680
- 49. Kam K, Bjornson C, Mitchell I. Congenital central hypoventilation syndrome; safety of early transition to non-invasive ventilation. Pediatr Pulmonol. Apr 2014; 49(4): 410-3. PMID 23843332
- 50. Yang L, Qiu S, Zhong J, et al. Noninvasive ventilation via bilevel positive airway pressure improved sleep in a child with congenital central hypoventilation syndrome: A case report. Clin Case Rep. Oct 2022; 10(10): e6320. PMID 36276908
- 51. Khan A, Frazer-Green L, Amin R, et al. Respiratory Management of Patients With Neuromuscular Weakness: An American College of Chest Physicians Clinical Practice Guideline and Expert Panel Report. Chest. Aug 2023; 164(2): 394-413. PMID 36921894
- 52. Hill NS, Criner GJ, Branson RD, et al. Optimal NIV Medicare Access Promotion: Patients With COPD: A Technical Expert Panel Report From the American College of Chest Physicians, the American Association for Respiratory Care, the American Academy of Sleep Medicine, and the American Thoracic Society. Chest. Nov 2021; 160(5): e389-e397. PMID 34339684
- 53. Wolfe LF, Benditt JO, Aboussouan L, et al. Optimal NIV Medicare Access Promotion: Patients With Thoracic Restrictive Disorders: A Technical Expert Panel Report From the American College of Chest Physicians, the American Association for Respiratory Care, the American Academy of Sleep Medicine, and the American Thoracic Society. Chest. Nov 2021; 160(5): e399-e408. PMID 34339688
- 54. Mokhlesi B, Won CH, Make BJ, et al. Optimal NIV Medicare Access Promotion: Patients With Hypoventilation Syndromes: A Technical Expert Panel Report From the American College of Chest Physicians, the American Association for Respiratory Care, the American Academy of Sleep Medicine, and the American Thoracic Society. Chest. Nov 2021; 160(5): e377-e387. PMID 34339686
- 55. Mokhlesi B, Masa JF, Brozek JL, et al. Evaluation and Management of Obesity Hypoventilation Syndrome. An Official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. Aug 01 2019; 200(3): e6-e24. PMID 31368798
- 56. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in over 16s: diagnosis and management [NG115]. Updated July 2019 https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng115. Accessed January 11, 2024.

Documentation for Clinical Review

Please provide the following documentation:

- History and physical and/or consultation notes including:
 - Clinical findings (i.e., pertinent symptoms and duration)
 - Comorbidities
 - o Activity and functional limitations
 - o Reason for device
 - Pertinent past procedural and surgical history (e.g., for OSA or lungs)
 - Past and present pertinent diagnostic testing and results (including but not limited to daytime arterial blood gas (ABG) with PaCO2, PaO2 or pH)
 - o Prior conservative treatments, duration, and response
 - o Treatment plan (i.e., surgical intervention, CPAP, BiPAP)
- Consultation report(s), when applicable
- Radiology report(s) and interpretation (i.e., MRI, CT, discogram) when applicable

Post Service (in addition to the above, please include the following):

• Results/reports of tests performed including after interventions

Procedure report(s)

Coding

This Policy relates only to the services or supplies described herein. Benefits may vary according to product design; therefore, contract language should be reviewed before applying the terms of the Policy.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement policy. Policy Statements are intended to provide member coverage information and may include the use of some codes for clarity. The Policy Guidelines section may also provide additional information for how to interpret the Policy Statements and to provide coding guidance in some cases.

Type	Code	Description
CPT [®]	None	
	E0466	Home ventilator, any type, used with noninvasive interface, (e.g., mask, chest shell)
HCPCS	E0470	Respiratory assist device, bi-level pressure capability, without backup rate feature, used with noninvasive interface, e.g., nasal or facial mask (intermittent assist device with continuous positive airway pressure device)
	E0471	Respiratory assist device, bi-level pressure capability, with back-up rate feature, used with noninvasive interface, e.g., nasal or facial mask (intermittent assist device with continuous positive airway pressure device)
	E0601	Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) device

Policy History

This section provides a chronological history of the activities, updates and changes that have occurred with this Medical Policy.

Effective Date	Action
04/01/2022	New policy.
06/01/2022	Policy statement and guidelines updated.
10/01/2023	Annual review. Policy statement and guidelines updated.
12/01/2023	Annual review. Policy statement, guidelines and literature updated. Policy title changed from Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure for Chronic Obstructive
	Pulmonary Disease to current one.
05/01/2024	Annual review. No change to policy statement. Policy guidelines and literature updated.

Definitions of Decision Determinations

Medically Necessary: Services that are Medically Necessary include only those which have been established as safe and effective, are furnished under generally accepted professional standards to treat illness, injury or medical condition, and which, as determined by Blue Shield, are: (a) consistent with Blue Shield medical policy; (b) consistent with the symptoms or diagnosis; (c) not furnished primarily for the convenience of the patient, the attending Physician or other provider; (d) furnished at the most appropriate level which can be provided safely and effectively to the patient; and (e) not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent

8.01.64 Home Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure Devices for the Treatment of Respiratory Insufficiency and Failure Page 36 of 40

therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of the Member's illness, injury, or disease.

Investigational/Experimental: A treatment, procedure, or drug is investigational when it has not been recognized as safe and effective for use in treating the particular condition in accordance with generally accepted professional medical standards. This includes services where approval by the federal or state governmental is required prior to use, but has not yet been granted.

Split Evaluation: Blue Shield of California/Blue Shield of California Life & Health Insurance Company (Blue Shield) policy review can result in a split evaluation, where a treatment, procedure, or drug will be considered to be investigational for certain indications or conditions, but will be deemed safe and effective for other indications or conditions, and therefore potentially medically necessary in those instances.

Prior Authorization Requirements and Feedback (as applicable to your plan)

Within five days before the actual date of service, the provider must confirm with Blue Shield that the member's health plan coverage is still in effect. Blue Shield reserves the right to revoke an authorization prior to services being rendered based on cancellation of the member's eligibility. Final determination of benefits will be made after review of the claim for limitations or exclusions.

Questions regarding the applicability of this policy should be directed to the Prior Authorization Department at (800) 541-6652, or the Transplant Case Management Department at (800) 637-2066 ext. 3507708 or visit the provider portal at www.blueshieldca.com/provider.

We are interested in receiving feedback relative to developing, adopting, and reviewing criteria for medical policy. Any licensed practitioner who is contracted with Blue Shield of California or Blue Shield of California Promise Health Plan is welcome to provide comments, suggestions, or concerns. Our internal policy committees will receive and take your comments into consideration.

For utilization and medical policy feedback, please send comments to: MedPolicy@blueshieldca.com

Disclaimer: This medical policy is a guide in evaluating the medical necessity of a particular service or treatment. Blue Shield of California may consider published peer-reviewed scientific literature, national guidelines, and local standards of practice in developing its medical policy. Federal and state law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over medical policy and must be considered first in determining covered services. Member contracts may differ in their benefits. Blue Shield reserves the right to review and update policies as appropriate.

Appendix A

POLICY STATEMENT				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nanges)			
BEFORE	AFTER			
Home Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure Devices for the Treatment	Home Non-Invasive Positive Airway Pressure Devices for the Treatment			
of Respiratory Insufficiency and Failure 8.01.64	of Respiratory Insufficiency and Failure 8.01.64			
Policy Statement: 1. Nocturnal bilevel positive airway pressure (BPAP) with backup rate may be considered medically necessary for individuals with COPD and chronic respiratory failure (see Policy Guidelines) who meet either of the following: A. Chronic stable daytime (awake) hypercapnia (PaCO ₂ greater than or equal to 52 mmHg) B. Daytime (awake) hypercapnia (PaCO ₂ greater than or equal to 52 mmHg) at least 2 weeks after discharge from the hospital for an acute exacerbation with decompensated respiratory	Policy Statement: I. Nocturnal bilevel positive airway pressure (BPAP) with backup rate may be considered medically necessary for individuals with COPD and chronic respiratory failure (see Policy Guidelines) who meet either of the following: A. Chronic stable daytime (awake) hypercapnia (PaCO ₂ greater than or equal to 52 mmHg) B. Daytime (awake) hypercapnia (PaCO ₂ greater than or equal to 52 mmHg) at least 2 weeks after discharge from the hospital for an acute exacerbation with decompensated respiratory			
 II. Non-invasive home mechanical ventilation may be considered medically necessary for individuals with COPD who meet the following criteria: A. Qualify for a BPAP device AND meet at least one of the following: 1. Higher pressure is needed to reduce hypercapnia than can be achieved with a bilevel device during titration (typically greater than 25cm H₂O) 2. Severe hypoxemia requiring fraction of inspired oxygen (FIO₂) greater than 40% or greater than 5 L/min 3. Daytime use (battery operated unit) is required to reduce hypercapnia 	II. Non-invasive home mechanical ventilation may be considered medically necessary for individuals with COPD who meet the following criteria: A. Qualify for a BPAP device AND meet at least one of the following: 1. Higher pressure is needed to reduce hypercapnia than can be achieved with a bilevel device during titration (typically greater than 25cm H ₂ O) 2. Severe hypoxemia requiring fraction of inspired oxygen (FIO ₂) greater than 40% or greater than 5 L/min 3. Daytime use (battery operated unit) is required to reduce hypercapnia			
III. Non-invasive positive airway pressure for COPD is considered investigational under all other conditions.	III. Non-invasive positive airway pressure for COPD is considered investigational under all other conditions.			
IV. Bilevel positive airway pressure may be considered medically necessary for individuals with thoracic restrictive disorders due to neuromuscular disease who meet any of the following:	IV. Bilevel positive airway pressure may be considered medically necessary for individuals with thoracic restrictive disorders due to neuromuscular disease who meet any of the following:			

POLICY STATEMENT				
(No changes)				
BEFORE	AFTER			
 A. Pulmonary function tests: 1. Spirometry (upright or supine) with vital capacity less than 50% predicted or less than 80% predicted with associated symptoms (orthopnea, dyspnea, morning headaches, excessive daytime sleepiness, or unrefreshing sleep); OR 2. Maximal inspiratory pressure less than 60 cm H₂O or 	 A. Pulmonary function tests: 1. Spirometry (upright or supine) with vital capacity less than 50% predicted or less than 80% predicted with associated symptoms (orthopnea, dyspnea, morning headaches, excessive daytime sleepiness, or unrefreshing sleep); OR 2. Maximal inspiratory pressure less than 60 cm H₂O or 			
 maximum expiratory pressure (MEP) less than 40 cm H₂O; OR 3. Peak cough flow (PCF) less than 270 L/min for age greater than or equal to 12 years or PCF less than 5th percentile for age less than 12 years; OR 4. Sniff nasal inspiratory pressure (SNIP) less than 70 cm H₂O in males, SNIP less than 60 cmH₂O in females for age 	 maximum expiratory pressure (MEP) less than 40 cm H₂O; OR 3. Peak cough flow (PCF) less than 270 L/min for age greater than or equal to 12 years or PCF less than 5th percentile for age less than 12 years; OR 4. Sniff nasal inspiratory pressure (SNIP) less than 70 cm H₂O in males, SNIP less than 60 cmH₂O in females for age 			
greater than or equal to 12 years. B. Hypercapnia 1. Chronic stable daytime (awake) hypercapnia with PaCO ₂ greater than or equal to 45 mmHg (capillary blood gas can be used in children); OR 2. Venous blood gas PCO ₂ , end-tidal PCO ₂ , or transcutaneous PCO ₂ , greater than or equal to 50 mmHg; OR C. Hypoxia 1. Overnight oximetry in-laboratory or home sleep test with saturation less than 88% for 5 minutes; 2. Overnight oximetry: SpO2 less than or equal to 90% for greater than or equal to 2% of sleep time.	greater than or equal to 12 years. B. Hypercapnia 1. Chronic stable daytime (awake) hypercapnia with PaCO ₂ greater than or equal to 45 mmHg (capillary blood gas can be used in children); OR 2. Venous blood gas PCO ₂ , end-tidal PCO ₂ , or transcutaneous PCO ₂ , greater than or equal to 50 mmHg; OR C. Hypoxia 1. Overnight oximetry in-laboratory or home sleep test with saturation less than 88% for 5 minutes; 2. Overnight oximetry: SpO2 less than or equal to 90% for greater than or equal to 2% of sleep time.			
 V. Non-invasive home mechanical ventilation may be considered medically necessary for individuals with thoracic restrictive disorders due to neuromuscular disease who meet the following: A. Qualify for a BPAP device and meets any of the following: 1. BPAP fails 2. Have extreme loss in function with vital capacity less than 30% 3. Non-invasive ventilation is needed for greater than 10 hours per day 4. Severe breathlessness (e.g., with speaking at rest) 	 V. Non-invasive home mechanical ventilation may be considered medically necessary for individuals with thoracic restrictive disorders due to neuromuscular disease who meet the following: A. Qualify for a BPAP device and meets any of the following: 1. BPAP fails 2. Have extreme loss in function with vital capacity less than 30% 3. Non-invasive ventilation is needed for greater than 10 hours per day 4. Severe breathlessness (e.g., with speaking at rest) 			

POLICY STATEMENT				
(No changes)				
BEFORE	AFTER			
 5. Worsening daytime hypercapnia with need for mouthpiece ventilation 6. Daytime use (battery operated unit) is required to reduce hypercapnia or dyspnea. VI. Bilevel positive airway pressure may be considered medically 	 5. Worsening daytime hypercapnia with need for mouthpiece ventilation 6. Daytime use (battery operated unit) is required to reduce hypercapnia or dyspnea. VI. Bilevel positive airway pressure may be considered medically 			
necessary for individuals with hypoventilation syndromes who meet the following criteria:	necessary for individuals with hypoventilation syndromes who meet the following criteria:			
A. Awake or sleep hypoventilation with hypercapnia (one of the following is met):	 A. Awake or sleep hypoventilation with hypercapnia (one of the following is met): 			
 Awake hypoventilation with chronic stable daytime (awake) hypercapnia (PaCO₂ greater than or equal to 45 mmHg) Venous blood gas PCO₂, end-tidal PCO₂, or transcutaneous PCO₂ greater than or equal to 50 mmHg Sleep hypoventilation with hypercapnia: a. greater than or equal to 10 mmHg increase from baseline awake PCO₂ and to a value greater than 50 mmHg for greater than or equal to 10 min; OR b. PCO₂ greater than or equal to 55 mmHg for greater than or equal to 10 min; AND B. Low clinical suspicion for COPD or neuromuscular disease; AND C. One of the following conditions are met: Obesity with body mass index (BMI) greater than or equal to 30 kg/m² Decreased respiratory drive due to opioid or substance use Advanced lung disease other than COPD (e.g., end-stage or advanced interstitial lung disease); AND 	 Awake hypoventilation with chronic stable daytime (awake) hypercapnia (PaCO₂ greater than or equal to 45 mmHg) Venous blood gas PCO₂, end-tidal PCO₂, or transcutaneous PCO₂ greater than or equal to 50 mmHg Sleep hypoventilation with hypercapnia: a. greater than or equal to 10 mmHg increase from baseline awake PCO₂ and to a value greater than 50 mmHg for greater than or equal to 10 min; OR b. PCO₂ greater than or equal to 55 mmHg for greater than or equal to 10 min; AND B. Low clinical suspicion for COPD or neuromuscular disease; AND C. One of the following conditions are met: Obesity with body mass index (BMI) greater than or equal to 30 kg/m² Decreased respiratory drive due to opioid or substance use Advanced lung disease other than COPD (e.g., end-stage or advanced interstitial lung disease); AND 			
 D. Individual was discharged from inpatient stay with persistent awake hypoventilation (hypercapnia) on BPAP. 1. A reassessment with a provider within 3 months (30 to 90 days) is required and an attended polysomnogram (PSG) should be performed to assess appropriateness of positive airway pressure modality (home sleep apnea test is acceptable if attended PSG is not obtainable); OR E. Individual is ambulatory and sleep study indicates that BPAP is 	 D. Individual was discharged from inpatient stay with persistent awake hypoventilation (hypercapnia) on BPAP. 1. A reassessment with a provider within 3 months (30 to 90 days) is required and an attended polysomnogram (PSG) should be performed to assess appropriateness of positive airway pressure modality (home sleep apnea test is acceptable if attended PSG is not obtainable); OR E. Individual is ambulatory and sleep study indicates that BPAP is 			
necessary for sleep-disordered breathing, or individual with severe obstructive sleep apnea is continuous positive airway	necessary for sleep-disordered breathing, or individual with severe obstructive sleep apnea is continuous positive airway			

POLICY STATEMENT (No changes)				
BEFORE	AFTER			
pressure intolerant or continuous positive airway pressure was proven ineffective.	pressure intolerant or continuous positive airway pressure was proven ineffective.			
 VII. Non-invasive home mechanical ventilation may be considered medically necessary for individuals with hypoventilation syndromes who meet either of the following: A. Qualify for a BPAP device and at least one of the following: 1. Higher pressure is needed to reduce hypercapnia than can be achieved with a bilevel continuous positive airway pressure device during titration (typically greater than 25 cm H₂O) 2. Severe hypoxemia requiring FIO₂ greater than 40% or greater than 5L per minute 3. Daytime use (battery operated unit) is required to reduce hypercapnia B. Tried and failed BPAP device with persistent hypercapnia despite 3 months of adequate adherence to prescribed positive airway pressure therapy with either of the following: 1. Awake PaCO₂ greater than or equal to 45 mmHg 2. Awake venous blood gas PCO₂, end-tidal PCO₂, or transcutaneous PCO₂ greater than or equal to 50 mmHg. 	 VII. Non-invasive home mechanical ventilation may be considered medically necessary for individuals with hypoventilation syndromes who meet either of the following: A. Qualify for a BPAP device and at least one of the following: 1. Higher pressure is needed to reduce hypercapnia than can be achieved with a bilevel continuous positive airway pressure device during titration (typically greater than 25 cm H₂O) 2. Severe hypoxemia requiring FIO₂ greater than 40% or greater than 5L per minute 3. Daytime use (battery operated unit) is required to reduce hypercapnia B. Tried and failed BPAP device with persistent hypercapnia despite 3 months of adequate adherence to prescribed positive airway pressure therapy with either of the following: 1. Awake PaCO₂ greater than or equal to 45 mmHg 2. Awake venous blood gas PCO₂, end-tidal PCO₂, or transcutaneous PCO₂ greater than or equal to 50 mmHg. 			